EPD[®] Environmental Product

BRASIL EPD®

THE INTERNATIONAL EPD® SYSTEM

Declaration

ECO PLATFORM

In accordance with ISO 14025:2006 and EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 for:

Reinforcing Steel Bar Gerdau GG 50

CSG (Rio de Janeiro) and Fabrication Shop facilities

From

GERDAU ACOS LONGOS S.A

GO GERDAU Shape the future

| Programme: | regional programme: Hub EPD Brasil. More information at www.environdec.com |
|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Programme operator: | EPD International AB, Regional Hub: EPD Brasil. www.environdec.com |
| EPD registration number: | S-P-07786 |
| Publication date: | 2023-05-04 |
| Valid until: | 2028-03-31 |
| | An EPD should provide current information and may be undated if conditions change. The stat |

An EPD should provide current information and may be updated if conditions change. The stated validity is therefore subject to the continued registration and publication at www.environdec.com







Contents

| General information | . 3 |
|------------------------------------------------------------------|-----|
| Programme information | 3 |
| Company information: Gerdau Corporation and Operations in Brazil | . 4 |
| Owner of the EPD | 4 |
| Contact | 4 |
| Description of the organization | 4 |
| Product-related or management system-related certifications | 4 |
| Name and location of production site(s) | 4 |
| Product information: Reinforcing Steel Bar Gerdau GG 50 | . 6 |
| Product name | 6 |
| Product identification | 6 |
| Product description | 6 |
| Content declaration | 7 |
| UN CPC code | 7 |
| Geographical scope | 7 |
| LCA information: Life Cycle Impact Assessment | . 8 |
| Declared unit | 8 |
| Reference service life | 8 |
| Time representativeness | 8 |
| Database(s) and LCA software used | 8 |
| Description of system boundaries | 8 |
| Adopted premises to fill data gaps | 8 |
| Environmental performance indicators | 11 |
| Mandatory impact category indicators according to EN 15804 | 11 |
| Additional mandatory and voluntary impact category indicators | 12 |
| Resource use indicators | 12 |
| Waste indicators | 13 |
| Output flow indicators | 13 |
| Information on biogenic carbon content | 13 |
| Other environmental performance indicators | 14 |
| Additional environmental information | 15 |
| References | 16 |





General information

Programme information

| Programme: | The International EPD [®] System. More information at | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | www.environdec.com | | | | | |
| Address: | EPD International AB | | | | | |
| | Box 210 60 | | | | | |
| | SE-100 31 Stockholm | | | | | |
| | Sweden | | | | | |
| Website: | www.environdec.com | | | | | |
| E-mail: | info@environdec.com | | | | | |
| Brazilian Hub: | Fundação Vanzolini | | | | | |
| Address: | Rua Camburiú, 255 Alto da Lapa, São Paulo (SP) 05058-020 | | | | | |
| Website: | www.epdbrasil.com.br | | | | | |
| E-mail: | epdbrasil@vanzolini.com.br. | | | | | |

Accountabilities for PCR, LCA and independent, third-party verification

Product Category Rules (PCR)

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the Core Product Category Rules (PCR)

Product Category Rules (PCR): PCR 2019:14 version 1.11 (Construction Products) and UN CPC code 4124 - Bars and rods, hot-rolled, of iron or steel

PCR review was conducted by: Claudia A. Peña from ADDERE Research & Technology. Mobile: +56 9 9359 9210. E-mail: cpena@addere.cl

Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

LCA accountability: Carolina Alves, Thays Sampaio, Peter Shonfield, Augusto Mello; ERM Brasil Ltda.

Third-party verification

Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006, via:

 \boxtimes EPD verification by individual verifier

Third-party verifier: Claudia A. Peña; ADDERE Research & Technology

Approved by: The International EPD® System

The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.

EPDs within the same product category but from different programmes may not be comparable. EPDs of construction products may not be comparable if they do not comply with EN 15804. For further information about comparability, see EN 15804 and ISO 14025.



Company information: Gerdau Corporation and Operations in Brazil

Owner of the EPD GERDAU ACOS LONGOS S.A.

Contact

Av. das Nações Unidas, 8.501 - 6º andar – *São Paulo Municipality, São Paulo State, Brazil* Naiara Lopes <naiara.lopes@gerdau.com.br>

Description of the organization

With a history spanning 122 years, Gerdau is Brazil's largest and one of the leading producers of long steel in the Americas and of special steel in the world. In Brazil, Gerdau produces flat steel and iron ore for its own use. Gerdau also has a new business division, Gerdau Next, which fosters entrepreneurship in segments adjacent to the steel industry. Guided by its purpose of empowering people who build the future, Gerdau has operations in nine countries and over 30 000 direct and indirect employees. Gerdau is the largest recycling company in Latin America and uses scrap as an important input, with 71% of the steel it produces made from scrap. Every year, Gerdau transforms 11 million tonnes of scrap into a variety of steel products. Gerdau also is the world's largest charcoal producer, with over 250 hectares of planted forests in the state of Minas Gerais. As a result of its sustainable production matrix, Gerdau currently has one of the industry's lowest average greenhouse gas emissions (CO_2e). Gerdau's shares are listed on the São Paulo (B3), New York (NYSE) and Madrid (Latibex) stock exchanges.

Faced with an increasingly growing scenario for sustainable / green buildings and the requirements in relation to the products used in these types of constructions, Gerdau felt the need to start the certification of its products, in order to become competitive in this market in relation to its competitors. To achieve this goal, a Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) from cradle-to-gate was performed to support the preparation of EPD.

Product-related or management system-related certifications

All the products in this study follow the specifications of the ABNT NBR 7480 standards - Steel bars and wires for concrete reinforcement - that provides definitions regarding bar and wire diameters, tolerances, geometric configurations (ribs), mechanical properties, identification and test methods; and also the specifications of ABNT NBR 6118: Design of concrete structures - Procedure. GG 50 has compulsory certification by INMETRO. Furthermore, the producing plants have ISO 14001 – Environmental Management Systems and ISO 9001 - Quality Management System certification that certifies the ability to provide products and services that meet the requirements of customers, statutory, and applicable regulations, in order to increase customer satisfaction.

Name and location of production site(s)

- Gerdau Cosigua Production of rebar Location: Avenida João XXIII, 6777, parte Santa Cruz - Rio de Janeiro Municipality, Rio de Janeiro State, Brazil
- Fabrication Shops Cut and Bent products

For the production of cut and bent products, the rebars manufactured at Cosigua plant are then sent to the Fabrication Shops, which are located in other nineteen sites as shown in Table 1:



| Production Unit | State | City |
|-----------------|-------|-------------------------|
| SC1 | CE | Maracanaú |
| SPE | PE | Cabo De Santo Agostinho |
| USB1 | BA | Simoes Filho |
| ZAJ2 | SE | Aracaju |
| ZMA2 | AL | Maceio |
| ZTH2 | PI | Teresina |
| CT1 | MG | Contagem |
| CGD | RJ | Rio De Janeiro |
| GOI | GO | Aparecida De Goiânia |
| ZVIT | ES | Serra |
| ZCBA | MT | Cuiabá |
| PIR | SP | São Paulo |
| ZRPO | SP | Ribeirão Preto |
| UAR1 | PR | Araucaria |
| TIJ | SC | Tijucas |
| UFA | RS | Porto Alegre |
| ZXAP | SC | Chapeco |
| ZMAR | PR | Maringá |
| ZCGR | MS | Campo Grande |

Table 1: Locations of Gerdau's fabrication shops that supply cut and bent rebars





Product information: Reinforcing Steel Bar Gerdau GG 50

Product name

Reinforcing Steel Bar Gerdau GG 50.

Product identification

Hot rolled reinforcing bars (rebars) produced with low-carbon steel. The rebars are weldable, with ribbed surface and provided as cut and bent bar, straight or bent bars and in rolls.



Figure 1: Rebars produced by Gerdau

Table 2: CSG GG 50 Products

| Product | Step |
|----------------------|-------------------------------|
| Rebar in roll | Lamination |
| Straight rebar (12m) | Wire Drawing |
| Bent Rebar (6m) | Wire Drawing and Bending Mill |

Product description

Reinforcing Steel Bar Gerdau GG 50 is rolled from continuous cast billets and is used in a wide range of construction projects as the framework for reinforced concrete. The Gerdau GG 50 is the Gerdau brand for rebar produced in the CA50 category. It is the union of the product description with the name Gerdau (GG - Gerdau Group) and "50" is the specification of how much tensile stress the product must withstand without plastic deformation (500 MPa or 50 kgf / mm²). Moreover, the CA50 category is described in a standard. CA - Reinforced Concrete.

Tables 3 and 4 represent the product technical specification and product composition.



| Nominal Diameter (ND) (mm) | Nominal Mass (kg/m) | Linear Mass Tolerance (%) | Characteristic Flow Resistance (fy) (MPa) | Resistance Limit (MPa) | Elongation at 10 Ø | Pin Diameter for Folding at 180º (mm) |
|----------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------------------------|
| 6.3 | 0.245 | +/- 6 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 3 x DN |
| 8.0 | 0.395 | +/- 6 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 3 x DN |
| 10.0 | 0.617 | +/- 6 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 3 x DN |
| 12.5 | 0.963 | +/- 6 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 3 x DN |
| 16.0 | 1.578 | +/- 5 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 3 x DN |
| 20.0 | 2.466 | +/- 5 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 6 x DN |
| 25.0 | 3.853 | +/- 4 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 6 x DN |
| 32.0 | 6.313 | +/- 4 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 6 x DN |
| 40.0 | 9.865 | +/- 4 | 500 | 1.10 x fy | 8% | 6 x DN |

Table 4: Composition for rebar produced by Gerdau

| Chemical composition | Quantity (%) |
|----------------------|--------------|
| Iron | < 98.4 |
| Manganese | < 1.3 |
| Copper | < 0.2 |
| Carbon | < 0.31 |
| Others | < 0.74 |

Content declaration

| Table 5: Dangerous sub | btances from the candida | ate list of SVHC for Authorisation |
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|
|------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------------|

| Dangerous substances from the candidate list of SVHC for Authorisation | EC No. | CAS No. | Weight-% per functional or declared unit |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------|---------|------------------------------------------|
| Not applicable | | | |

The structural steel does not require packaging, and none was considered in the present study.

No dangerous substances from the candidate list of SVHC for authorization are present in the composition of Reinforcing Steel Bar Gerdau GG 50.

Rebar is used in reinforced concrete structures, such as buildings, bridges, viaducts, and other civil works to provide additional mechanical strength. The GG 50 rebars are available in the following formats: straight bars and cut and bent bars.

UN CPC code

4124 - Bars and rods, hot-rolled, of iron or steel.

Geographical scope Brazil





LCA information: Life Cycle Impact Assessment

Declared unit

The declared unit of this study for rebar is 1 metric ton of fabricated rebar (GG50) for the reinforcement of concrete, produced in Gerdau facility up to the gate.

Reference service life Not applicable.

Time representativeness

Primary data for the core process was gathered for one year of operation (2019). Semi-annual data from 2019 was considered for the Fabrication Shop.

Database(s) and LCA software used

All primary data used was based on the manufacturer's specific data inventory. For consistency, the background life cycle inventory data from secondary sources used in this LCA were obtained from the databases contained within the Gabi 10.6.1.35 software; either Gabi from Sphera 2020.1, Ecoinvent 3.7.1 and World Steel inventories 2021 (WSA 2021) were used. Brazil specific data were preferably considered whenever available.

Description of system boundaries

This study is a cradle-to-gate (A1-A3) with modules C1-C4 and module D, carried out based on EN15804+A2 and the relevant PCR 2019:14 version 1.11 (Construction Products), which addresses the environmental aspects and potential environmental impacts from raw material acquisition to the point at which it leaves the gate of the CSG facility (A3)/ Fabrication Shop (A3). The LCA also included the end-of-life stage, from the building de-construction/ demolition to the final disposal of the product of interest, and the potential benefits and loads beyond the system boundary, mandatory according to the PCR.

The life cycle stages descriptions are shown below in Figure 2. Modules declared, geographical scope, share of specific data (in GWP-GHG indicator) and data variation are presented in Table 6 (note that 'X' denotes inclusion in the LCA).

Adopted premises to fill data gaps

The following premises were considered in the study in order to fill data gaps:

- When specific transport distances from external suppliers to the factory were not available, a weighted average distance was used.
- When consumption data could not be directly measured in the plant, the input quantities were estimated based on the technical lists.
- At the manufacturing process in which disaggregated data was not available, physical allocation
 was performed to calculate the specific input values based on the production volumes and the
 total consumptions of the plant.






Figure 2: System boundary of the life cycle assessment for Reinforcing Steel Bar Gerdau GG 50

The life cycle stages included within the system boundaries are:

- A1 Primary raw material and alloys used for the manufacture of rebar;
- A2 Includes the transportation of all raw materials and auxiliaries from suppliers to the Gerdau manufacturing facilities, as well as the outbound transportation to the Fabrication Shop;
- A3 Scrap beneficiation, pig iron production, Melt Shop, Rolling Mill, Fabrication Shop and transportation of all co-products/ wastes from Gerdau to the final destination and waste disposal;
- C1 Manual dismantling of metal parts. Thus, no environmental impact was attributed to the non-destructive removal of building waste in this module;
- C2 Accounts for part of the waste processing, e.g., to a recycling site and transportation of waste e.g. to final sorting yard or disposal;
- C3 It includes collection of waste fractions from the deconstruction and waste processing of material flows intended for reuse, recycling and energy recovery;
- C4 Refers to waste disposal including physical pre-treatment and management of the disposal site; and
- D Contains potential benefits and loads from the recycling of rebar considered in module C3 (95%).

The electricity mix considered for this LCA was based on the GaBi dataset for Brazil, which is representative of the Brazilian energy matrix for the reference year.



| | Pro | duct st | age | proc | ruction cess age | Use stage | | | | | End of life stage | | | | Resource recovery stage | | |
|-------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------------------|-----------|-------------|--------|-------------|---------------|------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------|-----------|-------------------------------|----------|----------------------------------------|
| | Raw material supply | Transport | Manufacturing | Transport | Construction installation | Use | Maintenance | Repair | Replacement | Refurbishment | Operational energy use | Operational water use | De-construction demolition | Transport | Waste processing | Disposal | Reuse-Recovery-Recycling- potential |
| Module | A1 | A2 | A3 | A4 | A5 | B1 | B2 | B3 | B4 | B5 | B6 | B7 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D |
| Modules declared | х | х | Х | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | Х | х | Х | х | х |
| Geography | BR | BR | BR | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | BR | BR | BR | BR | BR |
| Specific data used | | >90% | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Variation – products | | 86.3% ¹ | | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Variation – sites | Ν | ot releva | nt | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |

| Table 6: Description of the system boundar | ry according to the PCR |
|--------------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| Table 0. Description of the system boundar | y according to the FCR |

This EPD refers to multiple products. The scenarios included are currently in use and are representative for one of the most probable alternatives. Since the results for A1-A3 modules differed by more than $\pm 10\%$ for the GWP-GHG indicator, the worst-case product was selected, resulting in the largest environmental impact per declared unit².

¹ The percent variation of products was calculated based on the difference between the worst and best-case products, in terms of the GWP-GHG indicator.

² The characterisation model and factors used in this study are in accordance with EN15804 for assessing the environmental impacts of construction products.



Environmental performance indicators³

Mandatory impact category indicators according to EN 15804

Table 7: Core environmental impact indicators

| Results per declared unit | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|----------|-----------|----------|-----------|--------------|--|
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | Tot.A1-A3 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D | |
| GWP-fossil | kg CO ₂ eq. | 1.68E+03 | 9.31E+01 | 1.09E+02 | 1.88E+03 | 0.00E+00 | 2.36E+00 | 1.22E+00 | 2.44E+00 | -9.26E+02 | |
| GWP- biogenic | kg CO₂ eq. | 9.57E+01 | -1.07E+00 | -3.02E+00 | 9.16E+01 | 0.00E+00 | -3.31E-02 | 3.62E-03 | -2.50E-02 | -5.27E-01 | |
| GWP- luluc | kg CO₂ eq. | -8.52E+00 | 6.44E+00 | 1.28E-01 | -1.95E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.95E-01 | 1.88E-02 | 2.44E-03 | -2.03E-02 | |
| GWP- total | kg CO ₂ eq. | 1.77E+03 | 9.85E+01 | 1.07E+02 | 1.98E+03 | 0.00E+00 | 2.52E+00 | 1.24E+00 | 2.42E+00 | -9.27E+02 | |
| ODP | kg CFC 11 eq. | 1.19E+01 | 3.18E+00 | 1.22E+00 | 1.63E+01 | 0.00E+00 | 3.81E-02 | 1.53E-02 | 2.12E-02 | -3.21E+00 | |
| AP | mol H⁺ eq. | 5.76E-06 | 3.40E-15 | 9.87E-08 | 5.86E-06 | 0.00E+00 | 5.53E-17 | 1.81E-08 | 5.77E-15 | -2.20E-12 | |
| EP- freshwater | kg PO4 ³⁻ eq. | 3.45E-02 | 4.86E-04 | 2.54E-05 | 3.50E-02 | 0.00E+00 | 1.46E-05 | 9.65E-06 | 1.86E-06 | -2.01E-04 | |
| EP- freshwater | kg P eq. | 5.27E+00 | 8.63E-01 | 2.41E-01 | 6.37E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 7.61E-03 | 8.21E-03 | 7.78E-03 | -1.65E+00 | |
| EP- marine | kg N eq. | 1.06E-01 | 1.49E-03 | 7.78E-05 | 1.07E-01 | 0.00E+00 | 4.47E-05 | 2.96E-05 | 5.70E-06 | -6.16E-04 | |
| EP- terrestrial | mol N eq. | 1.13E+00 | 2.95E-01 | 1.11E-01 | 1.54E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 3.64E-03 | 1.63E-03 | 1.93E-03 | -3.18E-01 | |
| POCP | kg NMVOC eq. | 4.24E+00 | 7.19E-01 | 3.02E-01 | 5.26E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 6.62E-03 | 4.12E-03 | 6.08E-03 | -1.42E+00 | |
| ADP- minerals& metals | kg Sb eq. | 7.06E-04 | 4.24E-06 | 4.07E-06 | 7.14E-04 | 0.00E+00 | 1.15E-07 | 1.99E-07 | 1.68E-07 | -2.30E-03 | |
| ADP-fossil | MJ | 1.46E+04 | 1.23E+03 | 1.49E+03 | 1.73E+04 | 0.00E+00 | 3.17E+01 | 1.14E+01 | 3.56E+01 | -9.06E+03 | |
| WDP | m ³ | 1.61E+02 | 2.31E-01 | 1.82E+00 | 1.63E+02 | 0.00E+00 | 6.26E-03 | 3.36E-01 | -2.89E-02 | -2.52E+03 | |
| | GWP-fossil = Globa | | | | | | | | | ng Potential | |

Acronyms

land use and land use change; ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, Accumulated Exceedance; EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment; EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment; EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, Accumulated Exceedance; POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone; ADP-minerals&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources*; ADP-fossil = Abiotic depletion for fossil resources potential*; WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption* *: The results of these environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experience with the indicator

³ The estimated impact results are only relative statements which do not indicate the end points of the impact categories, exceeding threshold values, safety margins or risks.





Additional mandatory and voluntary impact category indicators

Table 8: GWP additional indicator

| Results per declared unit | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|--|
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | Tot.A1-A3 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D | |
| GWP- GHG⁴ | kg CO ₂ eq. | 1.70E+03 | 9.10E+01 | 1.04E+02 | 1.90E+03 | 0.00E+00 | 2.30E+00 | 1.20E+00 | 2.29E+00 | -8.84E+02 | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |

Additional voluntary indicators e.g. the voluntary indicators from EN 15804 or the global indicators according to ISO 21930:2017

Resource use indicators

| Results per declared unit | | | | | | | | | | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|--|
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | Tot.A1-A3 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D | |
| PERE | MJ | 6.62E+03 | 6.75E+01 | 2.96E+00 | 6.69E+03 | 0.00E+00 | 2.02E+00 | 1.85E+01 | 2.57E+00 | 5.70E+02 | |
| PERM | MJ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | |
| PERT | MJ | 6.62E+03 | 6.75E+01 | 2.96E+00 | 6.69E+03 | 0.00E+00 | 2.02E+00 | 1.85E+01 | 2.57E+00 | 5.70E+02 | |
| PENRE | MJ | 1.47E+04 | 1.23E+03 | 1.49E+03 | 1.74E+04 | 0.00E+00 | 3.17E+01 | 1.14E+01 | 3.56E+01 | -9.06E+03 | |
| PENRM | MJ. | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | |
| PENRT | MJ | 1.47E+04 | 1.23E+03 | 1.49E+03 | 1.74E+04 | 0.00E+00 | 3.17E+01 | 1.14E+01 | 3.56E+01 | -9.06E+03 | |
| SM | kg | 2.68E+02 | 0.00E+00 | 5.13E+00 | 2.73E+02 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 5.45E+02 | |
| RSF | MJ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | |
| NRSF | MJ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | |
| FW | m ³ | 1.34E+01 | 6.35E-02 | 7.97E-01 | 1.43E+01 | 0.00E+00 | 1.88E-03 | 7.99E-02 | 3.67E-04 | -5.89E+01 | |

Acronyms

PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources; PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resources; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resources; SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Use of net fresh water

⁴ The indicator includes all greenhouse gases included in GWP-total but excludes biogenic carbon dioxide uptake and emissions and biogenic carbon stored in the product. This indicator is thus almost equal to the GWP indicator originally defined in EN 15804:2012+A1:2013.





Waste indicators

| | Results per declared unit | | | | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------------------|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | Tot.A1-A3 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D | | |
| Hazardous waste disposed | kg | 8.20E-07 | 1.04E-08 | 1.11E-07 | 9.41E-07 | 0.00E+00 | 2.69E-10 | 8.37E-09 | 6.30E-09 | 1.98E-06 | | |
| Non- hazardous waste disposed | kg | 1.86E+01 | 1.17E-01 | 2.40E+01 | 4.27E+01 | 0.00E+00 | 2.98E-03 | 7.65E-03 | 5.01E+01 | 1.16E+02 | | |
| Radioactiv e waste disposed | kg | 1.22E-01 | 3.75E-04 | 1.93E-04 | 1.23E-01 | 0.00E+00 | 5.08E-06 | 4.55E-04 | 4.05E-04 | 9.83E-04 | | |

Table 10: Environmental information describing waste categories

Output flow indicators

Table 11: Indicators describing resource use

| Results per declared unit | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|--|--|
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | Tot.A1-A3 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D | | |
| Component s for re-use | kg | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | |
| Material for recycling | kg | 9.79E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 1.42E+02 | 1.52E+02 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 9.50E+02 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | |
| Materials for energy recovery | kg | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | |
| Exported energy, electricity | MJ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | |
| Exported energy, thermal | MJ | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | |

Information on biogenic carbon content

Table 12: Information describing the biogenic carbon content at the factory gate

| Results per declared unit | | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|------|--------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| BIOGENIC CARBON CONTENT | Unit | QUANTITY | | | | | | | |
| Biogenic carbon content in product | kg C | Around 0.09% | | | | | | | |
| Biogenic carbon content in packaging | kg C | - | | | | | | | |

Note: 1 kg biogenic carbon is equivalent to 44/12 kg CO₂.

Reinforcing Steel Bar Gerdau GG 50 does not use packaging, and none is modelled in the present study (the final product is labelled and grouped using wire bundles).



Other environmental performance indicators

| | Results per declared unit | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------|---------------------------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|----------|----------|----------|----------|-----------|--|--|
| Indicator | Unit | A1 | A2 | A3 | Tot.A1-A3 | C1 | C2 | C3 | C4 | D | | |
| РМ | Disease incidences | 6.90E-05 | 1.16E-05 | 1.48E-06 | 8.21E-05 | 0.00E+00 | 4.14E-08 | 1.13E-07 | 8.43E-08 | 9.83E-06 | | |
| IR | kBq U235 eq. | 1.33E+01 | 4.52E-02 | 4.95E-02 | 1.34E+01 | 0.00E+00 | 4.79E-04 | 3.38E-02 | 5.81E-02 | -5.36E+00 | | |
| ETF-fw | CTUe | 8.76E+03 | 2.25E+03 | 9.82E+01 | 1.11E+04 | 0.00E+00 | 6.42E+01 | 4.83E+00 | 1.05E+01 | 1.84E+02 | | |
| HTP-c | CTUh | 3.27E-06 | 3.59E-08 | 3.19E-04 | 3.22E-04 | 0.00E+00 | 1.01E-09 | 7.83E-10 | 1.21E-09 | 1.58E-07 | | |
| HTP-nc | CTUh | 2.10E-05 | 1.18E-06 | 1.51E-07 | 2.24E-05 | 0.00E+00 | 3.16E-08 | 9.80E-09 | 1.23E-07 | 3.53E-06 | | |
| SQP | Pt | 1.58E+04 | 6.44E+02 | 1.58E+01 | 1.64E+04 | 0.00E+00 | 1.95E+01 | 9.62E+00 | 2.62E+00 | 0.00E+00 | | |

Table 13: Additional environmental impact indicators

Acronyms

PM = Particulate matter emissions; IR = Ionizing radiation, human health; ETF-fw = Eco-toxicity (freshwater); HTP-c = Human toxicity, cancer effects; HTP-c = Human toxicity, non-cancer effects, SQP = Soil quality potential/ Land use related impacts





Additional environmental information

The environment is a frequent topic at meetings of our Board of Directors and strategic ommittees. Our Sustainability Policy and Environmental Stewardship System reinforce our commitment to creating value for our stakeholders, and break down into measures to promote energy efficiency, reduce GHG emissions, water management, and reuse and recycle waste. They reinforce the company's commitment to creating value for its stakeholders, and are in line with global best practices and regulatory guidelines. These guidelines are cascaded to all company operations, and describe the procedures and responsibilities to be followed.

Gerdau is committed to being part of the solution to achieve a low-carbon economy, and has developed a medium and long term strategy to this end. For more information please check our commitment <u>https://www2.gerdau.com.br/wp-content/uploads/2022/03/Gerdau_ING.pdf</u>





References

ABNT NBR ISO 14040 - Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Principles and framework, 2009.

ABNT NBR ISO 14044 - Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Requirements and guidelines, 2009.

BS EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 - Sustainability of construction works. Environmental product declarations. Core rules for the product category of construction products.

Environmental profile of building elements, OVAM, 2020.

GaBi dataset http://gabi-documentation-2020.gabi-software.com/xml-data/processes/1b8ba9d0-cf86-4029-b8c0-58a9a536b452.xml.

General Programme Instructions of the International EPD® System. Version 3.01.

Hauschild M, G. M. (2011). Recommendations for Life Cycle Impact Assessment in the European context - based on existing environmental impact assessment models and factors. Luxembourg: European Commission.

ISO 14025 - Environmental labels and declarations - Type III environmental declarations - Principles and procedures, 2006.

Product Category Rule (PCR 2019:14 – version 1.11): "Construction products", International EPD® System, 2019.

World Steel Association (WSA 2021) - Life cycle inventory (LCI) study - 2020 data release – Seventh global LCI study for steel products, 2021.

