

# ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION

In accordance with ISO 14025:2006 and EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC:2021 for:

MLP products from Brazil & Indonesia

from Cladtek Group

<b>Programme</b>	The International EPD® System <a href="http://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>
<b>Programme Operator</b>	EPD International AB
<b>Regional Hub</b>	EPD Southeast Asia
<b>EPD Registration Number</b>	EPD-IES-0013656(S-P-13656)
<b>Publication Date</b>	2024-05-30
<b>Valid Until</b>	2029-05-29
<b>Revision Date</b>	2024-09-09



THE INTERNATIONAL EPD® SYSTEM



THE INTERNATIONAL EPD SYSTEM



An EPD should provide current information and may be updated if conditions change. The stated validity is therefore subject to the continued registration and publication at [www.environdec.com](http://www.environdec.com)

## Changes Compared to Previous Version

- An editorial update was made on page #05, 2024-09-09
- The graph on page #05, illustrating energy sources to produce 1 tonne MLP pipe, was updated, 2024-09-09
- The emissions factors of grid electricity sourced from Brazil tonne to produce 1 ton of MLP pipe on page #05, was updated, 2024-09-09

# PROGRAMME INFORMATION

## PROGRAMME OPERATOR

### The International EPD System

EPD International AB  
Box 210 60  
SE-100 31 Stockholm, Sweden

[www.environdec.com](http://www.environdec.com)  
[info@environdec.com](mailto:info@environdec.com)



### EPD Southeast Asia

Kencana Tower Level M, Business Park  
Kebon Jeruk  
Jl Raya Meruya Ilir No.88,  
Jakarta Barat 11620, Indonesia

<http://www.epd-southeastasia.com/>

Contact

[admin@epd-southeastasia.com](mailto:admin@epd-southeastasia.com)



## DECLARATION HOLDER

### Cladtek Group

CLADTEK HOLDINGS PTE LTD  
07-05 King's Centre, 390 Havelock  
Road Singapore 169662

Contact Person: Bionolla Shandiana  
Group ESG & Sustainability Manager  
Email: [bionolla.shandiana@cladtek.com](mailto:bionolla.shandiana@cladtek.com)  
Mobile: +62 812 8035 4988



The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.

## LCA CONSULTANT

### Intertek Assuris

2233 Argentia Road, Suite 201  
Mississauga, Ontario, Canada  
L5N 2X7

[www.intertek.com](http://www.intertek.com)



# GENERAL INFORMATION

## PROGRAMME INFORMATION

<b>Programme:</b>	The International EPD® System	EPD Southeast Asia
<b>Address:</b>	EPD International AB Box 210 60 SE-100 31 Stockholm Sweden	Kencana Tower Level M, Business Park Kebon Jeruk JI Raya Meruya Ilir No.88, Jakarta Barat 11620, Indonesia
<b>Website:</b>	<a href="http://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>	<a href="http://www.epd-southeastasia.com/">http://www.epd-southeastasia.com/</a>
<b>E-mail:</b>	<a href="mailto:info@environdec.com">info@environdec.com</a>	<a href="mailto:admin@epd-southeastasia.com">admin@epd-southeastasia.com</a>

<b>Accountabilities for PCR, LCA and independent, third-party verification</b>
<b>Product Category Rules (PCR)</b>
CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the Core Product Category Rules (PCR)
Product Category Rules (PCR): <i>Construction products, 2019:14, version 1.3.3 UN CPC 412</i>
PCR review was conducted by: <i>Claudia A. Peña</i>
<b>Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)</b>
LCA accountability: <i>Monisha Monachan, Intertek Assuris.</i>
<b>Third-party verification</b>
Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006, via:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EPD verification by individual verifier
Third-party verifier: <i>Dr Hudai Kara, Metsims Sustainability Consulting, <a href="http://www.metsims.com">www.metsims.com</a></i>
Approved by: The International EPD® System
Procedure for follow-up of data during EPD validity involves third party verifier:
<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.

EPDs within the same product category but registered in different EPD programmes, or not compliant with EN 15804, may not be comparable. For two EPDs to be comparable, they must be based on the same PCR (including the same version number) or be based on fully-aligned PCRs or versions of PCRs; cover products with identical functions, technical performances and use (e.g. identical declared/functional units); have equivalent system boundaries and descriptions of data; apply equivalent data quality requirements, methods of data collection, and allocation methods; apply identical cut-off rules and impact assessment methods (including the same version of characterisation factors); have equivalent

content declarations; and be valid at the time of comparison. For further information about comparability, see EN 15804 and ISO 14025.

## COMPANY INFORMATION

**Owner of the EPD:** Cladtek

**Contact:** Bionolla Shandiana  
Group ESG & Sustainability Manager  
Email: [bionolla.shandiana@cladtek.com](mailto:bionolla.shandiana@cladtek.com)  
Mobile: +62 812 8035 4988

**Description of the organisation:** Cladtek is the largest supplier of weld overlay Corrosion Resistant Alloy (CRA) globally and a leading supplier of CRA mechanically lined pipe (MLP). They offer a range of standalone related services such as induction bending, pipe spool fabrication, thermally sprayed aluminium coating, design and manufacture of clad valves, and design and manufacture of clad pressure vessels including pig launchers and receivers. They also offer complete, integrated clad product solutions that allow our customers to combine our various products and services into a single, seamless package that extends all the way to fabrication of skids and modules.

Cladtek is ISO 9001/API Q1 certified and holds API 5LC (Lic 0019) and API 5LD (Lic 0007). They also carry U, U2 and R stamp certification and API 6A and 6D certification for valves and flanges.

**Name and location of production sites:** Brazil & Indonesia.

## PRODUCT INFORMATION

**Product name:** Mechanically Line Pipe (MLP)

**Product description:** A Mechanically Lined Pipe, often referred to simply as CRA pipe. Mechanically lined pipes (MLPs) play a crucial role in the oil and gas sector, especially in environments where the fluids being transported can cause corrosion. The distinctive feature of MLPs lies in their construction method, which entails inserting a corrosion-resistant alloy (CRA) sleeve into the backing steel. In contrast to clad pipes, where the CRA is metallurgically bonded to the backing steel, MLPs use a CRA weld overlay to seal the ends, eliminating the necessity for a direct bond between the liner and backing steel.

This unique design not only ensures effective corrosion resistance but also results in substantial cost savings. MLPs can be notably more cost-effective, offering savings ranging from 25 to 40% when compared to traditional clad pipes. The financial benefits, combined with their corrosion-resistant properties, position MLPs as an appealing choice in the oil and gas industry for overcoming the challenges posed by corrosive production fluids.

Cladtek's uses its patented process for manufacture of CRA mechanically lined pipe in a variety of materials. It has developed its own bend testing facility for proving the performance of the lined pipe during installation, whether by traditional S Lay or by reeled methods, and in operational cases such as for lateral buckling.

Cladtek's mechanically lined pipe is unique as the liner is trimmed back at the pipe ends and finished with a two-layer Hot Wire GTAW weld overlay. This innovation makes installation time considerably faster and more cost effective. Cladtek can manufacture CRA lined pipe in sizes ranging from 4" to 32" (34" to 48"

under development) with current production facilities, and the production technology has been tested up to 42”.


**UN CPC code:** 412

**Geographical scope:**

- Modules A1-A4 are performed in the regions of Brazil and Indonesia.
- Modules C-D have a more global scenario. The main destination of the pipes coming from Brazilian manufacturing is Brazil and only 2% go to other countries in the world. While those from Indonesia are sent all worldwide.

**Energy source for production of 1 tonne MLP Pipe:**

The electricity sourced from the Brazil grid has a GWP value of 0.5 kgCO<sub>2</sub> eq/kWh. The electricity sourced from Indonesia electricity grid has a GWP value of 1.14 kgCO<sub>2</sub> eq/kWh. The pie chart below illustrates the contributions of renewable and non-renewable sources to the GWP impact. Notably, the largest contribution is from coal of 42.47%. The percentage below is the weighted average of the Brazil and Indonesia.



**% Contributions of renewable and non-renewable sources to the GWP**

# LCA INFORMATION

**Declared unit:** 1 tonne of the MLP pipe.

**Time representativeness:** from 2021-01-01 to 2021-12-31 (twelve months).

**Database and LCA software used:** Ecoinvent v3.8 [cut-off] LCI database and SimaPro 9.4.0.3.

**Description of system boundaries:** Cradle to gate with modules C1–C4 and module D (A1–A3 + C + D).

## SYSTEM DIAGRAM

	Product stage			Construction process stage		Use stage							End of life stage				Resource recovery stage
	Raw material supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport	Construction installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	De-construction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse-Recovery-Recycling-potential
Module	A1	A2	A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	B3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Modules declared	X	X	X	X	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	X	X	X	X	X
Geography	BRA, IDN*	BRA, IDN*	BRA, IDN*	GLO	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	GLO	GLO	GLO	GLO	GLO
Specific data used	21%					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Variation – products	NA					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Variation – sites	>10%					-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-

\*BRA=Brazil; IDN= Indonesia; GLO= Global

## CONTENT INFORMATION

Product components	Average Weight (Brazil & Indonesia) (kg)	Post-consumer material, weight %	Biogenic material, weight-% and kg C/tonne of product
CS pipe	9.06E+02	37.00%	–
CS coil	1.07E+02	65.20%	–
CRA wire	3.21E+01	0.00%	–
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>1.04E+03</b>	<b>38.94%</b>	<b>–</b>
Packaging materials	Weight (kg)	Weight % (versus the product)	Weight biogenic carbon, kg C/tonne of product
Packaging film	5.40E-02	0.01%	–
LDPE Caps	5.44E-01	0.05%	0
Flat pallet	3.12E+01	3.00%	13.55
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>3.18E+01</b>	<b>3.06%</b>	<b>13.55</b>

- The product does not contain any of the substance listed in REACH SVHC candidate list [Candidate List of substances of very high concern for Authorisation - ECHA \(europa.eu\)](https://europe.ec.europa.eu/en/chemicals-and-hazardous-substances/candidate-list-substances).

## ASSUMPTIONS

Assumptions in this study are as follows –

- The study represents the weighted average production of MLP manufacturing from 3 manufacturing sites in Brazil and Indonesia
- The average transport distance from the building to landfill site for MLP disposal is assumed to be 250 km.
- The losses for waste sorting, processing in Module C is assumed to be 10%.
- The losses for waste recycling in Module D are assumed to be 10%.

## CUT-OFF CRITERIA

In the process of building an LCI it is typical to exclude items considered to have a negligible (aka relatively inconsequential or immaterial) contribution to results. “Criteria for the exclusion of inputs and outputs (cut-off rules) in the Life Cycle Assessment and information modules and any additional information are intended to support an efficient calculation procedure. They shall not be applied to hide data. Any application of the criteria for the exclusion of inputs and outputs shall be documented.

The following procedure shall be followed for the exclusion of inputs and outputs:

- All inputs and outputs to a (unit) process shall be included in the calculation for which data is available.
- Data gaps shall be filled by worst-case estimates with average or generic data. Any assumptions for such choices shall be documented.
- All known mass and energy flows shall be reported; no known flows shall be deliberately excluded.

- Particular care should be taken to include material and energy flows known to have the potential to cause significant emissions into air and water or soil related to the environmental indicators of this standard. Conservative assumptions in combination with plausibility considerations and expert judgement can be used to demonstrate compliance with these criteria.

The following exclusions from the scope of the study were made:

- Human and animal energy inputs to processes.
- Production and disposal of infrastructure (machines, transport vehicles, roads, etc.)
- Transport of employees to and from their normal place of work and business travel; and
- Environmental impacts associated with support functions (e.g., R&D, marketing, finance, management etc.).
- No known significant environmental flows are excluded using this criterion.

The geographic scope of the analysis is MLPs manufactured in Brazil and Indonesia. The MLPs manufactured in Brazil are mostly distributed within the country and only 2% of the shipment goes to overseas destinations. The MLPs manufactured in Indonesia are distributed worldwide with an average shipping distance of 5,000 nautical miles. The majority of the data used in the modeling is from either primary data or in cases where it was necessary supplemental datasets from an LCA database (Ecoinvent v3.8) were used. End-of-life management of insulation was modeled based on the MLP pipe being extracted from the ground and being taken to a material recovery & recycling facility. This includes transport and material sorting related impacts. The MLP at the end of life is recycled and the benefits from recycling of net virgin material flows are accounted in Module D.

## DATA SOURCES

The majority of the data used in the modelling is from primary data from Cladtek group, in cases where it was necessary supplemental datasets from an LCA database (Ecoinvent v3.8) were used.

## DATA QUALITY

Data quality was monitored with the use of data quality requirements based on ISO 14044:2006. To ensure the quality of data were sufficient, data quality checks were completed on data quality indicators (DQIs) – Reliability, Representative, Temporal Correlation, Geographical Correlation, & Technological Correlation. Data quality indicators were assessed using a data quality matrix whereby key data were assigned scores between 1 (best) and 5 (worst). The data quality matrix used in this study was adapted from Weidema et al. (2013) and is available in the background LCA report.

## ALLOCATION

In terms of the specific primary data at the pipe stage there is a mass allocation applied between product & co-products.

In terms of the specific data for 1 tonne of MLP manufacturing stage no co-product allocation has been applied. However, some sites (Brazil and Indonesia) have used the mass allocation to calculate the unit process data normalized to production for energy and emission related flows, which is according to ISO 14040/44.

In terms of generic data, the main database used, Ecoinvent v3.8 (cut-off), defaults to an economic allocation for most processes. However, in some cases a mass-based allocation is used, where there is a



direct physical relationship. The allocation approach of specific Ecoinvent modules is documented on their website and method reports (see [www.Ecoinvent.org](http://www.Ecoinvent.org)).

In the case of end-of-life allocation of generic data, the Ecoinvent v3.8 with a cut-off by classification end-of-life allocation method was used. In this approach, environmental burdens and benefits of recycled/reused materials are given to the product system consuming them, rather than the system providing them, and are quantified based on recycling content of the material under investigation. This is a common approach in LCA for materials where there is a loss in inherent properties during recycling, the supply of recycled material exceeds demand and recycled content of the product is independent of whether it is recycled downstream. It follows the ISO standards on LCA.

## COMPARABILITY

EPDs within the same product category but from different programs may not be comparable. EPDs of construction products may not be comparable if they do not comply with EPDs within the same product category but registered in different EPD programmes may not be comparable. For two EPDs to be comparable, they shall be based on the same PCR (including the same version number up to the first two digits<sup>20</sup>) or be based on fully-aligned PCRs or versions of PCRs; cover products with identical functions, technical performances and use (e.g. identical declared/functional units); have equivalent system boundaries and descriptions of data; apply equivalent data quality requirements, methods of data collection, and allocation methods; apply identical cut-off rules and impact assessment methods (including the same version of characterisation factors); have equivalent content declarations; and be valid at the time of comparison.

## RESULTS OF THE ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

The impact categories presented in the following table refer to 1 tonne of MLP product from the Cladtek Group. The environmental impacts are analysed using EF 3.0 normalization and weighting set method.

### Mandatory impact category indicators according to EN 15804

Results per 1 tonne of the MLP pipe								
Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
<b>GWP-total</b>	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.	3.02E+03	6.65E+01	1.62E+00	4.34E+01	2.86E+01	5.27E-01	-5.49E+02
<b>GWP-fossil</b>	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.	3.01E+03	6.65E+01	1.62E+00	4.34E+01	2.85E+01	5.27E-01	-5.49E+02
<b>GWP-biogenic</b>	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.	2.33E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
<b>GWP-luluc</b>	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.	1.30E+00	2.65E-02	1.27E-04	1.56E-02	3.16E-02	4.97E-04	5.47E-01
<b>ODP</b>	kg CFC 11 eq.	2.00E-04	1.44E-05	3.49E-07	9.42E-06	3.48E-06	2.13E-07	-7.79E-06
<b>AP</b>	mol H <sup>+</sup> eq.	1.92E+01	5.24E-01	1.69E-02	1.80E-01	3.16E-01	4.95E-03	-1.36E+00
<b>EP-freshwater</b>	kg P eq.	8.05E-01	5.31E-03	5.80E-05	3.64E-03	2.03E-02	4.82E-05	-1.50E-01
<b>EP-marine</b>	kg N eq.	2.75E+00	1.40E-01	7.47E-03	5.30E-02	7.18E-02	1.72E-03	-1.31E-01
<b>EP-terrestrial</b>	mol N eq.	2.94E+01	1.55E+00	8.18E-02	5.79E-01	8.08E-01	1.88E-02	-4.64E+00
<b>POCP</b>	kg NMVOC eq.	1.10E+01	4.42E-01	2.25E-02	1.77E-01	2.21E-01	4.65E-03	-1.71E+00
<b>ADP-minerals &amp; metals*</b>	kg Sb eq.	4.84E-02	1.47E-03	2.48E-06	1.14E-03	1.40E-03	1.20E-06	8.71E-04
<b>ADP-fossil*</b>	MJ	4.06E+04	9.74E+02	2.22E+01	6.40E+02	3.51E+02	1.47E+01	-4.12E+03
<b>WDP*</b>	m <sup>3</sup>	2.52E+04	3.19E+00	2.98E-02	2.07E+00	3.74E+00	6.61E-01	3.36E+02
<b>Acronyms</b>	GWP-fossil = Global Warming Potential fossil fuels; GWP-biogenic = Global Warming Potential biogenic; GWP-luluc = Global Warming Potential land use and land use change; ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, Accumulated Exceedance; EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment; EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment; EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, Accumulated Exceedance; POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone; ADP-minerals&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources; ADP-fossil = Abiotic depletion for fossil resources potential; WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption							

### Additional mandatory and voluntary impact category indicators

Results per 1 tonne of the MLP pipe								
Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
GWP-GHG <sup>1</sup>	kg CO <sub>2</sub> eq.	3.02E+03	6.65E+01	1.62E+00	4.34E+01	2.87E+01	5.27E-01	-5.47E+02
Particulate matter	disease inc.	1.78E-04	4.67E-06	4.47E-07	2.99E-06	4.15E-06	9.70E-08	-4.20E-05
Ionizing radiation <sup>2</sup>	kBq U-235 eq	7.20E+01	4.56E+00	1.01E-01	2.98E+00	1.97E+00	6.53E-02	3.44E+01
Ecotoxicity, freshwater <sup>3</sup>	CTUe	3.89E+04	8.36E+02	1.34E+01	5.63E+02	1.58E+03	9.28E+00	-1.40E+04
Human toxicity, non-cancer <sup>3</sup>	CTUh	4.30E-06	2.42E-08	4.68E-10	1.45E-08	3.81E-08	2.36E-10	5.82E-06
Human toxicity, cancer <sup>3</sup>	CTUh	3.49E-05	8.38E-07	1.15E-08	5.64E-07	1.74E-06	6.07E-09	3.54E-05
Land use <sup>3</sup>	Pt	9.03E+03	7.61E+02	2.84E+00	4.32E+02	6.87E+02	3.21E+01	-7.54E+02

<sup>1</sup> This indicator accounts for all greenhouse gases except biogenic carbon dioxide uptake and emissions and biogenic carbon stored in the product. As such, the indicator is identical to GWP-total except that the CF for biogenic CO<sub>2</sub> is set to zero.

<sup>2</sup> This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.

<sup>3</sup> The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experienced with the indicator.

### RESOURCE USE INDICATORS

The total primary energy use indicators are calculated using Option B from Product Category Rule (PCR) 2019:14 for Preparing and Environmental Declaration (EPD), version 1.3.4 by EPD international.

Results per 1 tonne of the MLP pipe								
Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
PERE	MJ	7.44E+03	1.07E+01	1.20E-01	7.14E+00	4.84E+01	1.25E-01	3.00E+02
PERM	MJ	4.61E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
PERT	MJ	7.90E+03	1.07E+01	1.20E-01	7.14E+00	4.84E+01	1.25E-01	3.00E+02
PENRE	MJ	4.49E+04	1.03E+03	2.36E+01	6.79E+02	3.73E+02	1.56E+01	-4.28E+03
PENRM	MJ	1.94E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
PENRT	MJ	4.49E+04	1.03E+03	2.36E+01	6.79E+02	3.73E+02	1.56E+01	-4.28E+03

Results per 1 tonne of the MLP pipe								
Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
SM	kg	4.44E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
RSF	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
NRSF	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
FW	m <sup>3</sup>	6.83E+01	1.08E-01	1.14E-03	7.07E-02	1.33E-01	1.57E-02	7.89E+00
Acronyms	PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources; PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy re-sources; SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Use of net fresh water							

### WASTE INDICATORS

Results per 1 tonne of the MLP pipe								
Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Hazardous waste disposed	kg	4.54E+00	1.90E-02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	8.79E+01
Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg	1.63E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.00E+02	5.52E+01
Radioactive waste disposed	kg	2.63E-01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

### OUTPUT FLOW INDICATORS

Results per 1 tonne of the MLP pipe								
Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Components for re-use	Kg	2.78E-01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Material for recycling	Kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	9.00E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Materials for energy recovery	Kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

Results per 1 tonne of the MLP pipe								
Indicator	Unit	A1-A3	A4	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Exported energy, electricity	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Exported energy, thermal	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

### OTHER PARAMETERS

Parameter	Unit	Value
Biogenic carbon removal from product	kg C/tonne of product	0.00
Biogenic carbon removal from packaging	kg C/tonne of product	13.55

## REFERENCES

---

Centrum Milieuwetenschappen Leiden (CML) (2016). CML-IA baseline v4.7 characterization factors. CML, Leiden.

Ecoinvent (2021). Ecoinvent v3.8, Swiss Centre for Life Cycle Inventories. Available from [www.Ecoinvent.ch](http://www.Ecoinvent.ch)

Huijbregts, Steinmann, Elshout, Stam, Verones, Vieira, Zijp, Hollander, van Zelm. ReCiPe 2016: a harmonized life cycle impact assessment method at midpoint and endpoint level. International Journal of LCA, DOI 10.1007/s11367-016-1246-y.

IPCC (2021). Working Group I Contribution to the IPCC Fifth Assessment Report Climate Change 2013.

ISO (2000), ISO 14020:2000, Environmental labels and declarations – General principles

ISO (2004), ISO 8601:2004 Data elements and interchange formats – Information interchange – Representation of dates and times

ISO (2006a), ISO 14025:2006, Environmental labels and declarations – Type III environmental declarations – Principles and procedures

ISO (2006b), ISO 14040:2006, Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Principles and framework ISO (2006c)

ISO 14044:2006+A1+A2:2020; Environmental management – Life cycle assessment – Requirements and guidelines ISO.

ISO (2017), ISO 21930:2017, Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works -- Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services.

JRC (2011). ILCD Handbook: recommendations for life cycle impact assessment in the European context. European Commission Joint Research Centre Institute for Environment and Sustainability. [http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/?page\\_id=86](http://eplca.jrc.ec.europa.eu/?page_id=86)

Pre-Consultants (2021). SimaPro v9.4. Pre-Consultant, Amersfoort

Product Category Rule (PCR) 2019:14 for Preparing and Environmental Declaration (EPD), version 1.3.3 by EPD international

EN15804+ A2:2019+ AC:2021, Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products.

Weidema B P, Bauer C, Hischer R, Mutel C, Nemecek T, Reinhard J, Vandenko C O, Wernet G (2013). Overview and methodology: data quality guideline for the Ecoinvent database version 3 (final). Swiss Centre for Life Cycle Inventories: St Gallen



Intertek is a leading Total Quality, Safety and Sustainability Assurance provider to industries worldwide. Through our network of more than 1,000 laboratories and offices and over 44,000 people in more than 100 countries, we are re-refining the industry with our innovative and bespoke Assurance, Testing, Inspection and Certification solutions for our customers' operations and value chains.

#### FOR MORE INFORMATION



**Intertek Assuris**

2233 Argentia Road, Suite  
201  
Mississauga, Ontario,  
Canada  
L5N 2X7



[lca@intertek.com](mailto:lca@intertek.com)



[intertek.com](http://intertek.com)