







## **ENVIRONMENTAL PRODUCT DECLARATION**

## IN ACCORDANCE WITH EN 15804+A2 & ISO 14025

EPD of multiple products (ALUMINIUM GLASS DOORS, WINDOWS)

Sliding Doors;

Sliding Windows;

Single & Double Hung Windows;

Awning Doors;

Casement Windows;

Awning Windows;

Fixed Windows;

Bi-Folding Doors;

Bi-Folding Windows.

(EPD of multiple products, based on a representative product)

from

## QUAN DAT TRADING AND PRODUCTION COMPANY LIMITED Programme:

The international EPD® system, www.environdec.com

EPD registered through the fully aligned regional hub EPD Southeast Asia,

https://www.epd-southeastasia.com/

#### **Programme operator:**

**EPD International AB** 

## **Regional Hub**

**EPD Southeast Asia** 

EPD registration number: EPD-IES-0012803 (S-P-12803)

Publication date: 2024-08-22

Valid until: 2029-08-22

Geographical scope: Vietnam

An EPD should provide current information and may be updated if conditions change. The stated validity is therefore subject to the continued registration and publication at <a href="https://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>.







#### PROGRAMME INFORMATION

#### **Programme Operator**



THE INTERNATIONAL EPD® SYSTEM

**EPD International AB** 

Box 210 60 100 31 Stockholm

Sweden

www.environdec.com

## SOUTHEAST ASIA EPD

THE INTERNATIONAL EPD SYSTEM

**EPD Southeast Asia** 

Kencana Tower Level M, Business Park Kebon Jeruk

Jl Raya Meruya Ilir No. 88 Jakarta Barat 11620, Indonesia admin@epd-southeastasia.com www.epd-southeastasia.com

## Accountabilities for PCR, LCA and independent, third-party verification

#### **Product Category Rules (PCR)**

CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the Core Product Category Rules (PCR)

PCR 2019:14 Construction products, version 1.3.4 (2024-04-30)

c-PCR-007, Windows and doors, version 2024-04-30

PCR review was conducted by: Martin Erlandsson, IVL Swedish Environmental Research Institute, martin.erlandsson@ivl.se

#### Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)

LCA accountability: Le Van Tam, tamvan68@gmail.com,

#### Third-party verification

Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006, via:

Third-party verifier: Anni Oviir, Rangi Maja OÜ, https://www.lcasupport.com/ Approved by: The International EPD® System

Procedure for follow-up of data during EPD validity involves third party verifier:

☐ Yes ☒ No



The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.

EPDs within the same product category but registered in different EPD programmes, or not compliant with EN 15804, may not be comparable. For two EPDs to be comparable, they must be based on the same PCR (including the same version number) or be based on fully-aligned PCRs or versions of PCRs; cover products with identical functions, technical performances and use (e.g. identical declared/functional units); have equivalent system boundaries and descriptions of data; apply equivalent data quality requirements, methods of data collection, and allocation methods; apply identical cut-off rules and impact assessment methods (including the same version of characterisation factors); have equivalent content declarations; and be valid at the time of comparison. For further information about comparability, see EN 15804 and ISO 14025.

It is important to note that the results presented in modules A1-A3 should not be used in isolation without also considering the results of module C.

The EPD's declared environmental impacts are based on a representative product, so using it for significantly different variants may lead to inaccurate assessments.

## **MANUFACTURER INFORMATION**

Manufacturer	QUAN DAT TRADING AND PRODUCTION COMPANY LIMITED
Address	536 Quang Trung, Ward 11, Go Vap District, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam
Contact details	project@quandat.com.vn
Website	www.quandat.com.vn
Product-related	ISO 9001:2015; ISO 14001:2015; ISO 45001:2018.
or management	The certificates issued by NQA and accredited by
system-related	UKAS
certifications	







## PRODUCT INFORMATION

#### **PRODUCT DESCRIPTION**

- Constructed with durable aluminium frames.
- Frames can be anodized, powder-coated, or painted for various finishes.
- Typically include heat strengthened or tempered glass; laminated or IGU or laminated IGU glass for safety and strength.

The EPD is an EPD of multiple products that represent various product versions, with different sizes and designs. The result presented in the EPD is the result of the representative product 'Sliding Doors'.



#### PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION

Product name	ALUMINIUM GLASS DOORS, WINDOWS
Additional label(s)	-
Product number /	Sliding Doors;
reference	Sliding Windows;
	Single & Double Hung Windows;
	Awning Doors;
	Casement Windows;
	Awning Windows;
	Fixed Windows;
	Bi-Folding Doors;
	Bi-Folding Windows;
Place(s) of	No. 85A, DT748 Street, An Dien Ward, Ben Cat
production	City, Binh Duong Province, Vietnam
CPC code	42120-Doors, windows and their frames and
	thresholds for doors, of iron, steel or aluminium.

#### **PRODUCT APPLICATION**

Suitable for both residential and commercial buildings.

## **PRODUCT STANDARDS**

EN 14351-1:2006+A2:2016: Windows and doors - Product standard, performance characteristics - Part 1: Windows and external pedestrian doorsets





#### **TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION:**

Name of Criterion	Level	Test Method
1. Impact	Indentation depth not greater than 2	AS 2688:2017
Resistance	mm with a test sample weight of 3 kg	
	± 0.5 kg	
2. Water Tightness	No water streaks appear on the inside	EN 1027:2000
	surface of the window & door with a	
	test pressure greater than 150 Pa	
3. Air Permeability	Air leakage through the window is less	EN 1026:2000
	than 13.9 l/s/m² corresponding to a	
	minium pressure of 100 Pa	

#### PRODUCT RAW MATERIAL COMPOSITION

Product Material	Weight, kg	Post- consumer material, weight-%	Biogenic material, weight-% and kg C/kg
Glass	17.1874	0	0
Aluminium profiles	5.74093	0	0
Stainless steel	0.26064	0	0
Rubber	0.20669	0	0
Silicone	0.19168	0	0
Aluminium	0.02479	0	0
Pp plastic	0.01296	0	0
Steel	0.00575	0	0
Paint	0.00328	0	0

#### **PACKAGING**

The windows are generally transported directly from production site to the building site by lorry. Windows are separated from each other by plastic film and corrugated board. These packaging materials are included in the scope of this EPD.



Packaging Material	Weight, kg	Weight-% (versus the product)	Biogenic material, kg C/product or declared unit
PINE WOOD	0.287	1.2%	0.13
CARDBOARD PAPER	0.242	1.0%	0.11
LONG COIL AND STRAPPING BUCKLE	0.0167	0.07%	0
PE FILM	0.0104	0.04%	0
PET PLASTIC	0.0107	0.05%	0
TAPE	0.0205	0.09%	0

#### **BIOGENIC CARBON CONTENT**

## Product's biogenic carbon content at the factory gate

Biogenic carbon content in product, kg C	0
Biogenic carbon content in packaging, kg C	0.43

Note: The conversion factor from kg carbon to kg CO2 (44/12).

## **SUBSTANCES, REACH - VERY HIGH CONCERN**

The product does not contain any REACH SVHC substances in amounts greater than 0,1 % (1000 ppm).







## PRODUCT LIFE-CYCLE

## **MANUFACTURING AND PACKAGING (A1-A3)**

The environmental impacts considered for the product stage cover the manufacturing of raw materials used in the production as well as packaging materials and other ancillary materials. Also, fuels used by machines, and handling of waste formed in the production processes at the manufacturing facilities are included in this stage. The study also considers the material losses occurring during the manufacturing processes as well as losses during electricity transmission.

The production consists of five steps:

- 1. Aluminium profile preparation mainly via sawing, milling and gluing. Those aluminium profiles are non-thermal break system and thermal break system.
- 2. Frame production by assembling the various profiles via corner connections and fixing via gluing and/or rimping. Connectors are composed of aluminium die cast.
- 3. Positioning and fixing the various gaskets.
- 4. The fittings integration (if relevant)
- 5. The fixing of the glazing unit via the glazing bead.

The production line primarily consumes electricity. Diesel is used in forklift for internal transport. Heating by natural gas in winter period has also been considered in this study, taking into account the location of the manufacturing plant, where certain temperature range is required for the correct functioning of the equipment. Ancillary materials include water and cleaning agent. The manufacturer estimates the wastage rate of Aluminium profiles to be 2%.

The manufacturing energy use consists of grid electricity and rooftop solar energy generated by the manufacturer's investment used to power the equipment and lighting at the production plant. Additionally, there is Diesel oil for forklifts, cutting oil used for operating CNC cutting and milling

machines, kerosene for washing components and machine parts, oxygen gas used for welding, and 12kg gas used in welding machines.

Energy data was gathered from invoices and cross checked with metering. Electricity type was confirmed from contract data. Manufacturing waste consists mainly of production losses but also, Wood Waste, Waste oil residue,..Steel and Aluminium Profiles wasted in production are sold as scrap for recycling. Mixed wastes are sent to either landfill or incineration, wood to incineration and paper to recycling. Water used during production is sent to waste water treatment.

The packaging utilizes adhesive tape, PE film, pallets... to protect the product from scratches and abrasions.

In manufacturing, some assembly accessories such as nails, screws, hinges,.. are used.

## TRANSPORT AND INSTALLATION (A4-A5)

Transportation impacts occurred from final products delivery to construction site (A4) cover fuel direct exhaust emissions, environmental impacts of fuel production, as well as related infrastructure emissions.

Average distance of transportation is calculated based on the distance from the manufacturer to the project/site, which is 194km on average.

The transportation method is lorry. Vehicle capacity utilization volume factor is assumed to be 1 which means full load. In reality, it may vary but as role of transportation emissions in total results is small, the variety in load is assumed to be negligible. To be conservative, empty returns are included in this study as implemented through an average load factor in the Ecoinvent transport datapoints. Transportation does not cause losses as product is packaged properly. Distance from the construction site to waste handling facility is assumed to be 100 km.

Environmental impacts from installation into the building (A5) include emissions from energy use during installation and the generation of waste at the construction site. Due to the inability to measure specific electrical data on-site, electrical data will be calculated based on the power consumption of the device, which is 1.2 kW/h. Regarding packaging waste,





plastic film, cardboard, and steel are considered sorted for recycling, while wood is incinerated with energy recovery.

## PRODUCT USE AND MAINTENANCE (B1-B7)

This EPD does not cover the Use phase.

Air, soil, and water impacts during the use phase have not been studied.

## PRODUCT END OF LIFE (C1-C4, D)

To demolish the building at the end of its life cycle, assume 0.036 MJ/kg of the product (C1). Waste is delivered to the nearest construction waste treatment plant, assumed to be located 100 km from the construction site (C2). It is assumed that 100% of products are collected at the demolition site. A portion of recyclable materials such as glass, aluminum, steel, and stainless steel is sent directly to recycling facilities with specific recycling rates applied, while the remainder is sent to landfill. Losses in the sorting process are assumed to be very small and not considered in the assessment (C3-C4). Benefits of recycling waste generated in module A5 and C3 are taken into account in module D. The recycled steel and aluminium have been modelled to avoid use of primary materials. The scrap content in the studied product is considered and only the mass of primary steel in the product provides the benefit in order to avoid double counting. Wood waste is incinerated and the generated energy can replace the need for heat energy in district heating (D).

#### **MANUFACTURING PROCESS**

Aluminium glass doors, windows consists mainly in the following process:

1. Aluminium profile preparation mainly via sawing, milling and gluing. Those aluminium profiles are powder coated, non-thermal break system and thermal break system.



- 2. Frame production by assembling the various profiles via corner connections and fixing via gluing and/or rimping. Connectors are composed of aluminium die cast.
- 3. Positioning and fixing the various gaskets.
- 4. The fittings integration (if relevant).
- 5. The fixing of the glazing unit via the glazing bead.




Figure 1. The process diagram.







## LIFE-CYCLE ASSESSMENT

#### LIFE-CYCLE ASSESSMENT INFORMATION

Period for data	2023
Background data	Ecoinvent 3.8 (Allocation, cut-off, EN15804). EN 15804 reference package is based on
	EF 3.0
LCA software	OpenLCA software

#### **DECLARED AND FUNCTIONAL UNIT**

Declared unit	1 m2, base on the standard measurements given in EN 17213:2020
Mass per declared unit	23.63 kg
Functional unit	-
Reference service life	50 years

#### **SYSTEM BOUNDARY**

	rodu stage		Asse sta	mbly ige			l	lse stag	e			En	d of li	ife sta	age	S	Beyond the system boundaries		
A1	A2	А3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	В6	В7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D	D	D	
х	х	х	х	х	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	MND	х	х	х	х	х	х	х	
Geo	grapl	<b>1y</b> , by	two-l	etter	ISO cou	ntry co	de or re	gions. 1	he Inte	rnation	al EPD :	Syste	m on	y.					
VN	VN	VN	VN	VN	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	VN	VN	VN	VN		VN		
Raw materials	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport	Assembly	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	Deconstr./demol.	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse	Recovery	Recycling	

Modules not declared = MND. Modules not relevant = MNR.

#### DATA VARIABILITY

Supply-chain specific data for GWP-GHG	>90%
Variation in GWP-GHG(A1-A3) between products	+241%
Variation in Ozone depletion pot.	+172%
Variation in Acidification potential	+234%
Variation in EP-freshwater2)	+278%
Variation in EP-marine	+222%
Variation in EP-terrestrial	+213%
Variation in POCP ("smog")3)	+218%
Variation in ADP-minerals & metals4)	+114%
Variation in ADP-fossil resources	+241%
Variation in Water use5)	+205%
Variation in GWP-GHG between sites	N/A

#### **CUT-OFF CRITERIA**

The study does not exclude any modules or processes which are stated mandatory in the EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 and the applied PCR. The study does not exclude any hazardous materials or substances. The study includes all major raw material and energy consumption. All inputs and outputs of the unit processes, for which data is available for, are included in the calculation. There is no neglected unit process more than 1% of total mass or energy flows. The module specific total neglected input and output flows also do not exceed 5% of energy usage or mass.

All industrial processes from raw material acquisition and pre-processing, production, product distribution, installation, use of the product and end-of-life management are included. For easier modelling and because of lack of accuracy in available modelling resources some constituents under 0.1% of product mass are excluded. These include some substances which are present in the product only in very small amounts as they have no







significant impact on the emissions. Further, water used for cleaning and maintenance of the equipment, transportation and waste streams of the packaging materials used for delivering the raw materials to the factory are also included. The production of capital equipment, construction activities, and infrastructure, personnel-related activities, energy and water use related to company management and sales activities are excluded.

#### **ALLOCATION, ESTIMATES AND ASSUMPTIONS**

Allocation is required if some material, energy, and waste data cannot be measured separately for the product under investigation.

In this study, as per EN 15804, allocation is conducted in the following order;

- 1. Allocation should be avoided.
- 2. Allocation should be based on physical properties (e.g. mass, volume) when the difference in revenue is small.
- 3. Allocation should be based on economic values.

In this study allocation could not be avoided for some packaging materials, ancillary material, energy consumption and waste production as the information was only measured on factory or production process level. The inputs were allocated to studied product based on annual production volume (mass allocation) and converted to the DU of 1 m2.

+ALUMINIUM PROFILE is converted from length to weight based on the manufacturer's provided information.

+GLASS with a thickness of 1mm, an area of 1m2 has a weight of 2.5kg. This LCA study is conducted in accordance with all methodological considerations, such as performance, system boundaries, data quality,

allocation procedures, and decision rules to evaluate inputs and outputs. Allocation used in Ecoinvent 3.8 environmental data sources follow the methodology 'allocation, cut-off by classification'. This methodology is in line with the requirements of ISO 14025, ISO 14044 and the PCR. All estimations and assumptions regarding the cut off criteria and the allocation are declared in the part "Cut-off Criteria except the estimations/assumptions below:- Module A2, A4 & C2: Vehicle capacity utilization volume factor is assumed to be 1 which means full load. It may vary but as the role of transportation emission in total results is small, the variety in load is assumed to be negligible. Empty returns are considered as in the ecoinvent datasets. - Module A4: Transportation does not cause losses as products are packaged properly. Also, volume capacity utilisation factor is assumed to be 1 for the nested packaged products. Additionally, transportation distances are based on a sales volume-based weighted average and a lorry is the assumed vehicle type used. - Module C1: To demolish the building at the end of its life cycle, assume 0.036 MJ/kg of the product. - Module C2: Transportation distance to waste treatment facility is estimated as 100 km and the transportation method is assumed as lorry. - Module C3, C4, D: A portion of recyclable materials such as glass, aluminum, steel, and stainless steel is sent directly to recycling facilities (C3) with specific recycling rates applied, while the remainder is sent to landfill (C4). Losses in the sorting process are assumed to be very small and not considered in the assessment (C3-C4). Benefits of recycling waste generated in module A5 and C3 are taken into account in module D.

The allocations in the Ecoinvent 3.8 datasets used in this study follow the Ecoinvent system model 'Allocation, cut-off, EN15804'.







## **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT DATA**

The estimated impact results are only relative statements, which do not indicate the endpoints of the impact categories, exceeding threshold values, safety margins and/or risks. Note: additional environmental impact data may be presented in annexes.

#### **CORE ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT INDICATORS – EN 15804+A2**

Impact category	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	В6	В7	C1	C2	С3	C4	D
GWP – total <sup>1)</sup>	kg CO₂e	1.56E+02	2.66E+00	8.30E+00	MND	7.82E-02	1.28E+00	1.50E+00	3.42E-02	-1.19E+02						
GWP – fossil	kg CO₂e	1.64E+02	2.66E+00	3.23E-01	MND	7.82E-02	1.28E+00	1.50E+00	3.42E-02	-1.19E+02						
GWP – biogenic	kg CO₂e	-7.98E+00	1.33E-04	7.98E+00	MND	1.20E-05	7.79E-05	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	3.96E-01						
GWP – LULUC	kg CO₂e	1.17E-01	1.63E-03	1.98E-05	MND	7.59E-06	7.82E-04	1.03E-03	3.50E-06	-1.93E-02						
Ozone depletion pot.	kg CFC-11e	6.87E-06	5.30E-07	3.38E-09	MND	1.67E-08	2.56E-07	4.35E-08	6.82E-09	-3.57E-06						
Acidification potential	mol H*e	1.55E+00	1.04E-02	2.87E-03	MND	8.13E-04	3.88E-03	5.87E-03	3.41E-04	-1.13E+00						
EP-freshwater <sup>2)</sup>	kg Pe	8.24E-02	2.62E-04	1.39E-04	MND	2.45E-06	1.29E-04	4.02E-04	1.78E-06	-6.81E-02						
EP-marine	kg Ne	2.17E-01	2.10E-03	3.48E-04	MND	3.60E-04	7.28E-04	7.91E-04	1.47E-04	-1.48E-01						
EP-terrestrial	mol Ne	2.24E+00	2.29E-02	3.61E-03	MND	3.94E-03	7.88E-03	8.76E-03	1.61E-03	-1.49E+00						
POCP ("smog") <sup>3)</sup>	kg NMVOCe	6.07E-01	7.58E-03	9.90E-04	MND	1.07E-03	2.86E-03	2.47E-03	4.38E-04	-4.09E-01						
ADP-minerals & metals <sup>4)</sup>	kg Sbe	5.82E-04	1.48E-05	4.00E-07	MND	3.65E-08	7.31E-06	6.36E-05	1.60E-08	-1.43E-04						
ADP-fossil resources	MJ	2.30E+03	3.93E+01	4.09E+00	MND	1.07E+00	1.91E+01	1.05E+01	4.59E-01	-1.64E+03						
Water use <sup>5)</sup>	m³e depr.	2.58E+01	2.26E-01	3.33E-02	MND	2.63E-03	1.11E-01	2.36E-01	1.17E-03	-1.18E+01						

<sup>1)</sup> GWP = Global Warming Potential; 2) EP = Eutrophication potential. Required characterisation method and data are in kg P-eq. Multiply by 3,07 to get PO4e; 3) POCP = Photochemical ozone formation; 4) ADP = Abiotic depletion potential; 5) EN 15804+A2 disclaimer for Abiotic depletion and Water use and optional indicators except Particulate matter and Ionizing radiation, human health. The results of these environmental impact indicators shall be used with care as the uncertainties on these results are high or as there is limited experience with the indicator.

## ADDITIONAL (OPTIONAL) ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT INDICATORS - EN 15804+A2

Impact category	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	В6	B7	C1	C2	С3	C4	D
Particulate matter	Incidence	9.21E-06	1.27E-07	3.12E-09	MND	2.15E-08	6.18E-08	8.41E-08	8.89E-09	-6.24E-06						
Ionizing radiation <sup>6)</sup>	kBq U235e	9.86E+00	1.74E-01	8.45E-04	MND	4.83E-03	8.42E-02	4.40E-02	1.99E-03	-7.05E+00						
Ecotoxicity (freshwater)	CTUe	1.51E+01	8.76E-01	1.36E-02	MND	5.87E-03	4.30E-01	1.34E+00	2.47E-03	-5.81E+00						
Human toxicity, cancer	CTUh	1.32E-07	1.16E-09	1.64E-11	MND	2.01E-11	5.55E-10	9.12E-10	9.13E-12	-8.35E-08						
Human tox. non-cancer	CTUh	1.41E-05	6.72E-08	-3.93E-09	MND	3.22E-10	3.32E-08	1.37E-07	1.67E-10	-1.20E-05						
SQP <sup>7)</sup>	-	3.00E+02	1.94E+01	4.61E-01	MND	2.81E-02	9.66E+00	2.53E+00	1.29E+00	-1.75E+02						







6) EN 15804+A2 disclaimer for Ionizing radiation, human health. This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionizing radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure nor due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionizing radiation from the soil, from radon and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator; 7) SQP = Land use related impacts/soil quality.

#### **USE OF NATURAL RESOURCES**

Impact category	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	В6	B7	<b>C1</b>	C2	С3	C4	D
Renew. PER as energy <sup>8)</sup>	MJ	1.33E+02	4.16E-01	8.67E-01	MND	4.65E-03	2.05E-01	5.83E-01	2.14E-03	-1.24E+01						
Renew. PER as material	MJ	6.49E+01	1.92E-01	-2.72E+01	MND	1.36E-03	9.45E-02	5.80E-01	1.58E-03	-1.76E+01						
Total use of renew. PER	MJ	1.98E+02	6.08E-01	-2.63E+01	MND	6.01E-03	2.99E-01	1.16E+00	3.73E-03	-2.99E+01						
Non-re. PER as energy	MJ	1.78E+03	6.47E+00	4.04E+00	MND	5.96E-02	3.19E+00	5.61E+00	4.81E-02	-1.44E+03						
Non-re. PER as material	MJ	5.22E+02	3.29E+01	4.95E-02	MND	1.01E+00	1.59E+01	4.86E+00	4.11E-01	-1.96E+02						
Total use of non-re. PER	MJ	2.30E+03	3.93E+01	4.09E+00	MND	1.07E+00	1.91E+01	1.05E+01	4.59E-01	-1.64E+03						
Secondary materials	kg	2.18E+00	3.64E-02	2.67E-01	MND	7.88E-04	1.78E-02	1.23E+01	3.52E-04	1.09E+01						
Renew. secondary fuels	MJ	4.86E-01	4.24E-03	7.65E-05	MND	7.51E-05	2.08E-03	3.17E-03	4.51E-05	-8.97E-02						
Non-ren. secondary fuels	MJ	1.82E+00	9.50E-03	1.38E-03	MND	1.20E-04	4.67E-03	2.03E-02	5.64E-05	-1.02E+00						
Use of net fresh water	m³	6.24E-01	5.59E-03	8.26E-04	MND	6.22E-05	2.75E-03	5.60E-03	2.80E-05	-2.91E-01						

<sup>8)</sup> PER = Primary energy resources

#### **END OF LIFE - WASTE**

Impact category	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	В6	В7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Hazardous waste	kg	3.98E+02	1.38E+00	7.03E-01	MND	1.16E-02	6.80E-01	2.71E+00	8.61E-03	-3.24E+02						
Non-hazardous waste	kg	1.32E+01	1.16E+00	6.90E-01	MND	7.89E-04	5.76E-01	1.11E+00	3.76E-04	-9.19E+00						
Radioactive waste	kg	8.96E-02	5.98E-04	5.17E-06	MND	1.23E-05	2.92E-04	3.74E-04	5.22E-06	-6.54E-02						

## **END OF LIFE - OUTPUT FLOWS**

Impact category	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	В6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
Components for re-use	kg	5.58E-18	4.06E-20	5.44E-20	MND	4.64E-22	1.91E-20	2.02E-20	-5.45E-23	-4.26E-18						
Materials for recycling	kg	1.03E+00	2.78E-02	2.02E-04	MND	4.93E-04	1.35E-02	1.09E+01	2.26E-04	-3.22E-01						
Materials for energy rec	kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	MND	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00						
Exported energy	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	1.48E+01	MND	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00						







## **ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACTS – GWP-GHG - THE INTERNATIONAL EPD SYSTEM**

Impact category	Unit	A1-A3	A4	A5	B1	B2	В3	B4	B5	B6	B7	C1	C2	C3	C4	D
GWP-GHG <sup>10)</sup>	kg CO₂e	1.64E+02	2.66E+00	3.23E-01	MND	7.82E-02	1.28E+00	1.50E+00	3.42E-02	-1.19E+02						

<sup>10)</sup> This indicator includes all greenhouse gases excluding biogenic carbon dioxide uptake and emissions and biogenic carbon stored in the product as defined by IPCC AR 5 (IPCC 2013). In addition, the characterisation factors for the flows - CH4 fossil, CH4 biogenic and Dinitrogen monoxide - were updated in line with the guidance of IES PCR 2019:14 Construction products, version 1.3.4 Annex 1. This indicator is identical to the GWP-total of EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 except that the characterization factor for biogenic CO2 is set to zero.





# SINCE 1991

## **SCENARIO DOCUMENTATION**

#### Manufacturing energy scenario documentation

Scenario par	rameter	Value
Grid electricity	Electricity data source and quality	Ecoinvent 3.8: electricity, medium voltage (market for electricity, medium voltage   electricity, medium voltage   EN15804) (Vietnam)
(*)	Electricity kg CO₂e / kWh	0.562
Company- owned rooftop	Electricity data source and quality	Ecoinvent 3.8: electricity production, photovoltaic, 3kWp flat-roof installation,multi-Si - RoW - electricity, low voltage (Vietnam)
solar	Electricity kg CO₂e / kWh	0.0713
District heating quality	g data source and	NA
District heatin	ig CO₂e / kWh	NA

(\*) Vietnam doesn't calculate the residual mix like the EU and doesn't have a Guarantees of Origin system. Therefore, it's not possible to subtract renewables from the consumption mix, and the residual mix is the same as the consumption mix.

## Transport scenario documentation (A4)

Scenario parameter	Value
Specific transport CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions, kg CO <sub>2</sub> e / tkm	0.545
Average transport distance, km	194
Capacity utilization (including empty return) %	100%
Volume capacity utilization factor	1

#### Installation scenario documentation (A5)

Scenario parameter	Value
Average electricity used during installation of 1m2 of product, kWh	0.587
Electricity kg CO₂e / kWh	0.562

#### **End of life scenario documentation**

End of the scenario documentation								
Scenario paramete	Scenario parameter							
Energy to demolish the life cycle, MJ/kg	0.036							
Specific transport CO	Specific transport CO <sub>2</sub> e emissions, kg CO <sub>2</sub> e / tkm							
Average transportation waste disposal, km	on distance	for end-of-life	100					
Capacity utilization (i	ncluding em	pty return) %	100%					
Volume capacity utili	1							
Mass ratio of end-of-		Glass	30:70					
processing: Recycling and Landfill % (C4)	% (C3)	Aluminium	95:5					
		Steel	95:5					
		Stainless Steel	95:5					
		PP Plastic	0:100					
		Rubber	0:100					
		Silicone	0:100					
		Rockwool	0:100					
Collection process	kg collecte	ed separately	10.89					
specified by type	kg mixed (	construction waste	12.74					
	kg for re-ι	ise	0					







Recovery system	kg for recycling	10.89		
specified by	kg for energy recovery	0		
Disposal specified by type	kg product or material for final deposition	12.74		

## **BIBLIOGRAPHY**

ISO 14025:2010 Environmental labels and declarations – Type III environmental declarations. Principles and procedures.

ISO 14040:2006 Environmental management. Life cycle assessment. Principles and frameworks.

ISO 14044:2006 Environmental management. Life cycle assessment. Requirements and guidelines.

EN 15804:2012+A2:2019 Sustainability in construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products.

Int'l EPD System PCR 2019:14 Construction products, version 1.3.4 (2024-04-30)

Int'l EPD System c-PCR-007, Windows and doors, version 2024-04-30

EPD International (2021). General Programme Instructions of the international EPD® system. Version 4.0. www.environdec.com







#### **CONTACT INFORMATION**

#### Owner of the EPD

#### **LCA Practitioner**

#### **Programme Operator**

## QUAN DAT TRADING AND PRODUCTION COMPANY LIMITED

536 Quang Trung, Ward 11
Go Vap District, Ho Chi Minh City
Vietnam
Place of production:
No. 85A, DT748 Street, An Dien
Ward,
Ben Cat City, Binh Duong Province,
Vietnam
project@quandat.com.vn
+(84.28) 7303 0678
www.quandat.com.vn

#### SIS CERT COMPANY LIMITED

14th Floor, HM TOWN Building, 412 Nguyen Thi Minh Khai, Ward 05 District 3, Ho Chi Minh City Vietnam Info@isosig.com +(84.28) 38881987 www.isosig.com

# EPD THE INTERNATIONAL EPD\* SYSTEM

EPD International AB Box 210 60 100 31 Stockholm Sweden www.environdec.com



**EPD Southeast Asia** 

Kencana Tower, Level M Business Park Kebon Jeruk Jl Raya Meruya Ilir No. 88 Jakarta Barat 11620

Indonesia admin@epd-southeastasia.com (+62) 899-9000-055 www.epd-southeastasia.com