Environmental Product Declaration

In accordance with ISO 14025:2006 and EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC:2021 for:

Steel plates, painted and non-painted

from

Stalia AB

Programme:	The International EPD® System, www.environdec.com
Programme operator:	EPD International AB
EPD registration number:	S-P-09023
Publication date:	2023-10-10
Valid until:	2028-10-10
Multiple product grouping:	EPD of multiple products, based on worst-case results. The products included are steel beams, both painted and non-painted, from one wholesaler (who in turn has purchased from two suppliers)

An EPD should provide current information and may be updated if conditions change. The stated validity is therefore subject to the continued registration and publication at www.environdec.com

General information

Programme information

Programme:	The International EPD® System
Address:	EPD International AB Box 210 60 SE-100 31 Stockholm Sweden
Website:	www.environdec.com
E-mail:	info@environdec.com

Accountabilities for PCR, LCA and independent, third-party verification
Product Category Rules (PCR)
CEN standard EN 15804 serves as the Core Product Category Rules (PCR)
Product Category Rules (PCR): Construction products, PCR 2019:14, Version 1.3.1 UN CPC code: 4219
PCR review was conducted by: The Technical Committee of the International EPD® System. See www.environdec.com/TC for a list of members. Review chair: Claudia A. Peña, University of Concepción, Chile. The review panel may be contacted via the Secretariat www.environdec.com/contact
Life Cycle Assessment (LCA)
LCA accountability: Alexander Munge, Viktor Hakkarainen, VästLCA AB
Third-party verification
Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006, via: ☒ EPD verification by individual verifier Third-party verifier: David Althoff Palm, Dalemarken AB Approved by: The International EPD® System
Procedure for follow-up of data during EPD validity involves third party verifier: ☐ Yes ☒ No

The EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability, and responsibility for the EPD.

EPDs within the same product category but registered in different EPD programmes, or not compliant with EN 15804, may not be comparable. For two EPDs to be comparable, they must be based on the same PCR (including the same version number) or be based on fully-aligned PCRs or versions of PCRs; cover products with identical functions, technical performances and use (e.g. identical declared units); have equivalent system boundaries and descriptions of data;

apply equivalent data quality requirements, methods of data collection, and allocation methods; apply identical cut-off rules and impact assessment methods (including the same version of characterisation factors); have equivalent content declarations; and be valid at the time of comparison. For further information about comparability, see EN 15804 and ISO 14025.

The use of the EPD is restricted to steel supplied by supplier H mentioned in the background report. Contact Stalia directly for information if this EPD is valid for a specific purchase.

Company information

Owner of the EPD:
Stalia AB

Contact: Dannie Obad (dannie.obad@stalia.se)

Description of the organisation:
Stalia AB is a Swedish wholesaler that stores, sells, and further refines a wide portfolio consisting of various steel and metal products.

Product-related or management system-related certifications:

- EN 1090-1:2009+A1:2011

Name and location of production site(s):
Stalia AB, Industrivägen 10, 313 94 Sennan, SE

Product information

Product name: Steel plates

Product identification:

- EN 10025 Parts 2-6, Hot rolled products of structural steels – Technical delivery conditions – and CE marking
- EN 10225, Weldable structural steels for fixed offshore structures
- EN 10028 Parts 2-7, Flat products made from pressure vessel steels
- ASTM and ASME international standards ASTM (ASME) (S)A36, (S)A516, (S)A572, A588

Product description:
Hot rolled steel plates in varying steel grades and geometrical proportions. The steel plates are purchased from a wholesaler in Sweden, who in turn purchases the steel plates from a manufacturer in Denmark and in Germany. Most plates are non-alloy steel plates with a steel grade of S355. Supplier H (Denmark) is based on 0% post-consumer scrap, whereas Supplier H (Germany) is based on 24.7% post-consumer scrap.

The Steel plates are used for structural and general construction purposes and vary in physical dimensions, chemical composition, and technical specifications depending on customer need.

The EPD results will represent the average value from both manufacturers that Supplier H purchases from, weighted by the total amount purchased from each supplier by Stalia AB.

UN CPC code: 4219 Other structures (except prefabricated buildings) and parts of structures, of iron, steel or aluminium; plates, rods, angles, shapes, sections, profiles, tubes and the like, prepared for use in structures, of iron, steel or aluminium; props and similar equipment for scaffolding, shuttering or pitpropping.

Geographical scope: Europe. Products under study are purchased from supplier in Denmark and Germany but are used in Sweden.

LCA information

Functional unit / declared unit: 1 000 kg of Steel plates

Reference service life: Not applicable

Time representativeness: January 1st – December 31st 2022.

Database(s) and LCA software used:
The LCA software used for modelling was SimaPro version 9.5.0.1, with Ecoinvent 3.9.1 as a complementary database in addition to direct inputs from the EPDs listed in table 2 below:

Description of system boundaries:
b) Cradle to gate with options, modules C1–C4, module D and with optional modules (A1–A3 + C + D and additional modules). The additional module included in the system boundary is A4.

A1-A3 Cradle-to-gate

Production of all steel products from the suppliers that is purchased by Stalia are based on existing EN15804+A2 EPDs developed by each supplier or LCI data derived from type EN15804+A1 EPDs. The EN15804+A2 EPDs include production and handling of raw materials, energy use, auxiliary materials, transportation to production site, disposal and handling of production scrap.

The electricity mix used at Stalia is modelled as Swedish residual electricity with a GWP-GHG of 0,079 kg CO2,eq/kWh.

When Stalia AB receives the steel beams from the supplier, paint is sometimes applied to the product before transporting to customer, a process which is also included.

A4 Transportation
Transportation of purchased product between supplier and Stalia AB, as well as between Stalia AB and a typical customer in Sweden.

Material	Country of origin	Distance (km)	Type of transport
Steel beams from Supplier H (DK)	Denmark	150	Truck
Steel beams from Supplier H (DE)	Germany	655	Truck
Transportation from Stalia to customer	Sweden	150	Truck

C1 Demolition/Deconstruction

Presented scenarios in for modules C1-D are currently in use and are representative for one of the most probable alternatives.

This Chapter describes the energy needed to demolish the steel.

Activity	Energy type	Amount (MJ/DU)
Demolition	Diesel	11 MJ

Energy requirements for removal of steel is based on (Erlandsson & Pettersson, 2015). As a conservative measure, it is assumed that the demolition takes place more than 6m above ground level.

C2 Transport to waste processing

Transport	Distance
Road	50 km

C3 Waste processing for reuse, recovery and/or recycling

The materials are assumed to go through a crushing and sorting process according to values in the table below.

Material	Treatment type	Amount (kg/DU)
Steel	Material recycling	950

C4 Final disposal

Material	Treatment type	Amount (kg/DU)
Steel	Inert waste	50

D Benefits and loads beyond the product system

The D module is calculated with a formula originally proposed in EN 15804 and adjusted with a factor for material yield (Y) in PCR:2019:14.

Formula for calculating net benefits and loads for export of secondary materials (recycling of materials):

$$e_1 = \Sigma(Y \bullet M_{MR,out} - Y \bullet M_{MR,in}) \bullet (E_{MR\ after\ EoW\ out} - E_{VM\ Sub\ out} \bullet \frac{Q_{R,out}}{Q_{Sub}}) \quad \text{(Eq.1)}$$

No benefits or loads from export of energy.

System diagram:

The system boundary of the EPD is cradle-to-gate with options, meaning that modules A1-A4, C, and D are declared, exempting module A5 + B from the model. Modules A1-A3, illustrated in figure 1, are all part of the supplier process in which Stalia AB does not perform any activities due to their position as a wholesaler. Module A3 also includes a process conducted by Stalia AB at their facility in Sweden, which takes place, process-wise, after transport in module A4, consisting of the occasional application of a paint coating on the purchased steel products from the supplier.

The system boundary to nature is set to include those processes that provide the material and energy inputs into the system and the following manufacturing, and transport processes up to the factory gate as well as the processing of any waste arising from the processes.



All infrastructure/capital goods are included as a standard through the datasets used in ecoinvent for all generic data. Data used from the EPDs (from suppliers of Stalia AB) must cover infrastructure/capital goods in modules A1-A3 if it is deemed relevant, and should therefore be included by extension in this LCA.

Assumptions:

- The Greenhouse gas reduction mandate for transport that is implemented in Sweden is not applied since there are international routes covered in the model

- The steel products are assumed to have a coating of paint applied to them as there was no data available regarding what product was painted, in order to maintain a conservative approach
- When recycled steel is declared to be used as input by the suppliers but it's not specified if it's pre or post-consumer, pre-consumer steel is assumed.
- In the cases where steel is procured directly by a steel manufacturer, the generated scrap is assumed to be remelted inside of the plant and the emissions associated with this is included in the dataset.
- Additional spillage in value chains for steel procured by other wholesalers are assumed to be below cut-off due to no additional processing being required when that wholesaler has procured the material.

Cut-off rules:

The cut-off criteria are in accordance with the EN 15804 standard, therefore a maximum of 1% of the renewable and non-renewable primary energy use and max 1% of the total mass input of a specific unit are excluded. For a full module, the combined cut-off of all unit processes do not exceed 5%. Particular care should be taken for materials or processes can cause significant emissions to air, water or soil for any of the declared LCIA categories.

In this study, the following flows are deemed to be below cut-off:

- Packaging material for steel product as it represents less than 0.5% of the total product weight
- Difference between S235 and S355 steel as the only difference is 0,01% C content.

Allocation:

Allocation is performed according to the allocation hierarchy in EN 15804 chapter 6.4.3.2, that is:

Step 1 – Avoid allocation by dividing the unit processes into sub-processes or expanding the product system to include additional functions.

Step 2 – Partitioning the inputs and outputs of the system between its different products or functions in a way that reflects the underlying physical relationships between them. Examples of this is mass or energy.

Step 3 – Partitioning the inputs and outputs of the system between its different products or functions in a way that reflects other relationships between them. Examples of this is economic value.

Allocation procedures for A1-A3

In the EPDs from Spain and Poland for the steel beams, an allocation procedure based on mass was described. Since the A2 EPDs were used for modelling, the allocation procedure described in the EPDs are subsequentially included in the LCA model.

A3 flows occurring at the site owned by Stalia and for material sent to painting, mass allocation was used.

Allocation procedure for pre-consumer scrap steel

The pre-consumer scrap steel was divided through economic allocation according to the table below:

Steel	**Timeframe**	**Average value** (USD/Metric ton)	**Economic allocation**
Primary steel	Jan 2022-Dec 2022	959.25	85%
Recycled steel	Jun 2022 – Apr 2023	170.11	15%

For primary steel, data was retrieved from an online source (Focus Economics, 2023) where the average price for hot rolled coil steel during 2022 was used. Data for recycled steel was also taken from an online source (MEPS International, 2009). The average price for recycled steel is represented by the ferrous scrap price between June 2022 and April 2023, which was presented in EUR/metric ton. For converting to USD/metric ton, the average exchange rate for 2022 was taken (Exchange Rates, 2022).

Modules declared, geographical scope, share of specific data (in GWP-GHG results) and data variation (in GWP-GHG results):

	Product stage			Construction process stage		Use stage							End of life stage				Resource recovery stage
	Raw material supply	Transport	Manufacturing	Transport	Construction installation	Use	Maintenance	Repair	Replacement	Refurbishment	Operational energy use	Operational water use	De-construction demolition	Transport	Waste processing	Disposal	Reuse-Recovery-Recycling-potential
Module	**A1**	**A2**	**A3**	**A4**	**A5**	**B1**	**B2**	**B3**	**B4**	**B5**	**B6**	**B7**	**C1**	**C2**	**C3**	**C4**	**D**
Modules declared	X	X	X	X	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	X	X	X	X	X
Geography	EU	EU	EU	SE	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	ND	SE	SE	SE	SE	SE
Specific data used	<10%				-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Variation – products	<10%																-
Variation – sites	**Supplier H (DK):** +3% **Supplier H (DK):** -4%																-

X = declared, ND = Not declared, EU = European Union, SE = Sweden

Description of production activities:

Figure 2 illustrates a flowchart of the manufacturing process from supplier process to Stalia ABs application of paint coating prior to transport to end customer.

Stalia AB purchases steel plates from a wholesaler (supplier H) in Sweden, who purchases the steel plates from two suppliers, one in Denmark and one in Germany.



1. Raw materials are extracted and transported to manufacturing facility
2. Steel plates are produced from slabs at in Russia which are then transported to Supplier H (Denmark) in Denmark
3. Slabs may be pre-treated prior to cutting by being pre-heated and/or treated in a scarfing machine to remove the outer layer of steel, both of which are meant to prevent crack formation
4. After the slabs are cut, they are led to the heating furnaces
5. When the slabs are heated, scale is removed from the outer surface of the plates where water is sprayed with high pressure
6. The heated slabs are rolled until the plate has obtained the desired dimensions
7. To remove the warp effects on the steel plates, they are levelled and, in some cases, to increase quality of the plates, they are normalised by reheating the plates to around 900 °C
8. Plates are then cooled and any remaining plates that are still warped are treated in a cold plate leveller. Thereafter they are cut or sheared into a defined shape and dimension
9. The steel plates are transported from the steel manufacturing site to wholesaler in Halmstad Sweden, before being transported to Stalia AB facility in Halmstad, Sweden
10. When the steel plates arrive at the Stalia AB facility, they are stored in a warehouse, where internal operations represent the energy use for the warehouse as well as internal transportation.
11. Some steel plates have paint applied to them depending on customer order. This is done by an external party situated in Halmstad. After paint has been applied, the steel plates is transported back to Stalia AB.

12. The steel plates are then transported to end customer either with paint applied or non-painted.
13. Use phase is not modelled
14. End-of-life is modelled using relevant generic datasets representative of the region, in this case Sweden

The following figure represents the modelling for the supplier of steel plates in Germany.



1. Steel plates are produced at supplier sites in Germany. The process of raw material extraction to manufactured plates is modelled based on the A2 EPD from the manufacturer, corresponding to modules A1-A3
2. The steel plates are transported from the steel manufacturing site to wholesaler in Halmstad Sweden, before being transported to Stalia AB facility in Halmstad, Sweden
3. When the steel plates arrive at the Stalia AB facility, they are stored in a warehouse, where internal operations represent the energy use for the warehouse as well as internal transportation
4. Some Steel plates have paint applied to them depending on customer order. This is done by an external party situated in Halmstad. After paint has been applied, the steel plates are transported back to Stalia AB
5. The steel plates are then transported to end customer either with paint applied or non-painted.
6. Use phase is not modelled
7. End-of-life is modelled using relevant generic datasets representative of the region, in this case Sweden

Content information

Product components	Weight, kg	Post-consumer material, weight-%	Pre-consumer material, weight-%	Biogenic material, weight-% and kg C/kg
Steel plates from Supplier H (DK)	600	0	0	0
Steel plates from Supplier H (DE)	400	0	24.70	0
TOTAL, weighted average	1 000	0	9.88	0

Packaging materials	Weight, kg	Weight-% (versus the product)
None included		

The product does not contain any substances of very high concern (SVHC).

Results of the environmental performance indicators

The results for A1-A3 should not only be analyzed at face value without considering the impacts represented by module C.

The estimated impact results are only relative statements, which do not indicate the endpoints of the impact categories, exceeding threshold values, safety margins and/or risks.

The results of the impact categories abiotic depletion of minerals and metals, land use, human toxicity (cancer), human toxicity, noncancer and ecotoxicity (freshwater) may be highly uncertain in LCAs that include capital goods/infrastructure in generic datasets, in case infrastructure/capital goods contribute greatly to the total results. This is because the LCI data of infrastructure/capital goods used to quantify these indicators in currently available generic datasets sometimes lack temporal, technological and geographical representativeness. Caution should be exercised when using the results of these indicators for decision-making purposes.

Mandatory impact category indicators according to EN 15804

Results per functional or declared unit								
Indicator	**Unit**	**A1-A3**	**A4**	**C1**	**C2**	**C3**	**C4**	**D**
GWP-fossil	kg CO_2 eq.	2.40E+03	1.21E+02	1.12E+00	9.66E+00	2.65E+01	3.28E-01	-1.10E+03
GWP-biogenic	kg CO_2 eq.	5.81E+00	1.19E-01	2.92E-04	9.45E-03	9.30E-02	2.24E-04	6.81E+00
GWP-luluc	kg CO_2 eq.	2.14E+00	5.79E-02	1.24E-04	4.61E-03	3.83E-02	1.93E-04	1.41E+00
GWP-total	kg CO_2 eq.	2.41E+03	1.22E+02	1.12E+00	9.68E+00	2.67E+01	3.29E-01	-1.09E+03
ODP	kg CFC 11 eq.	3.15E-05	2.57E-06	1.74E-08	2.05E-07	4.11E-07	9.11E-09	-2.76E-05
AP	mol H^+ eq.	8.41E+00	3.86E-01	1.01E-02	3.07E-02	2.90E-01	2.37E-03	-5.86E-02
EP-freshwater	kg P eq.	6.58E-02	9.47E-04	3.94E-06	7.53E-05	1.18E-03	3.07E-06	-5.57E-02
EP-marine	kg N eq.	1.72E+00	1.31E-01	4.68E-03	1.04E-02	6.61E-02	9.06E-04	-7.81E-01
EP-terrestrial	mol N eq.	1.95E+01	1.40E+00	5.10E-02	1.11E-01	7.56E-01	9.76E-03	-9.17E+00
POCP	kg NMVOC eq.	8.30E+00	5.77E-01	1.51E-02	4.59E-02	2.26E-01	3.40E-03	-5.75E+00
ADP-minerals&metals *	kg Sb eq.	1.14E-02	3.80E-04	3.81E-07	3.02E-05	1.60E-03	4.37E-07	1.08E-02
ADP-fossil*	MJ	2.47E+04	1.68E+03	1.43E+01	1.33E+02	3.51E+02	7.85E+00	-8.47E+03
WDP*	m^3	3.07E+02	6.69E+00	2.92E-02	5.32E-01	4.27E+00	3.46E-01	1.43E+02

Acronyms	GWP-fossil = Global Warming Potential fossil fuels; GWP-biogenic = Global Warming Potential biogenic; GWP-luluc = Global Warming Potential land use and land use change; ODP = Depletion potential of the stratospheric ozone layer; AP = Acidification potential, Accumulated Exceedance; EP-freshwater = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching freshwater end compartment; EP-marine = Eutrophication potential, fraction of nutrients reaching marine end compartment; EP-terrestrial = Eutrophication potential, Accumulated Exceedance; POCP = Formation potential of tropospheric ozone; ADP-minerals&metals = Abiotic depletion potential for non-fossil resources; ADP-fossil = Abiotic depletion for fossil resources potential; WDP = Water (user) deprivation potential, deprivation-weighted water consumption

** Disclaimer: The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties of these results are high or as there is limited experience with the indicator.*

Additional mandatory and voluntary impact category indicators

Results per functional or declared unit								
Indicator	**Unit**	**A1-A3**	**A4**	**C1**	**C2**	**C3**	**C4**	**D**
Particulate matter	Disease inc.	1.61E-04	7.60E-06	2.79E-07	6.05E-07	3.78E-06	5.05E-08	-6.74E-05
Ionising radiation**	kBq U235 eq	4.51E+01	8.41E-01	2.92E-03	6.69E-02	9.35E-01	2.07E-03	9.25E+01
Ecotoxicity, freshwater*	CTUe	6.49E+03	8.87E+02	7.27E+00	7.05E+01	2.24E+02	3.85E+00	2.72E+04
Human toxicity, cancer*	CTUh	1.61E-05	5.37E-08	3.34E-10	4.27E-09	3.95E-08	1.34E-10	-4.96E-06
Human toxicity, non-cancer*	CTUh	5.60E-05	1.55E-06	7.36E-09	1.24E-07	1.91E-06	3.80E-09	-1.20E-05
Land use*	Pt	7.63E+03	9.98E+02	9.55E-01	7.94E+01	6.24E+02	1.56E+01	-1.51E+02

** Disclaimer: The results of this environmental impact indicator shall be used with care as the uncertainties of these results are high or as there is limited experience with the indicator.*

*** This impact category deals mainly with the eventual impact of low dose ionising radiation on human health of the nuclear fuel cycle. It does not consider effects due to possible nuclear accidents, occupational exposure, not due to radioactive waste disposal in underground facilities. Potential ionising radiation from the soil, from radon, and from some construction materials is also not measured by this indicator.*

Potential environmental impact for 1 000 kg of Steel plate – GWP-GHG

This table presents global warming potential according to IPCC 2013 GWP 100a without any biogenic uptake.

Results per functional or declared unit								
Indicator	**Unit**	**A1-A3**	**A4**	**C1**	**C2**	**C3**	**C4**	**D**
GWP-GHG[1]	kg CO_2 eq.	2.35E+03	1.19E+02	1.09E+00	9.44E+00	2.61E+01	3.16E-01	-1.04E+03

Resource use indicators

Results per functional or declared unit								
Indicator	**Unit**	**A1-A3**	**A4**	**C1**	**C2**	**C3**	**C4**	**D**
PERE	MJ	2.07E+03	2.60E+01	8.13E-02	2.07E+00	5.45E+01	6.64E-02	1.85E+03
PERM	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
PERT	MJ	2.07E+03	2.60E+01	8.13E-02	2.07E+00	5.45E+01	6.64E-02	1.85E+03
PENRE	MJ	2.56E+04	1.78E+03	1.52E+01	1.42E+02	3.73E+02	8.35E+00	-9.01E+03
PENRM	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
PENRT	MJ	2.56E+04	1.78E+03	1.52E+01	1.42E+02	3.73E+02	8.35E+00	-9.01E+03
SM	kg	9.88E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
RSF	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
NRSF	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
FW	m^3	8.88E+00	2.78E-01	1.24E-03	2.21E-02	1.39E-01	8.38E-03	-2.06E+00
Acronyms	PERE = Use of renewable primary energy excluding renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERM = Use of renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PERT = Total use of renewable primary energy resources; PENRE = Use of non-renewable primary energy excluding non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRM = Use of non-renewable primary energy resources used as raw materials; PENRT = Total use of non-renewable primary energy resources; SM = Use of secondary material; RSF = Use of renewable secondary fuels; NRSF = Use of non-renewable secondary fuels; FW = Use of net fresh water							

[1] This indicator accounts for all greenhouse gases except biogenic carbon dioxide uptake and emissions and biogenic carbon stored in the product. As such, the indicator is identical to GWP-total except that the CF for biogenic CO_2 is set to zero.

Waste indicators

This table presents all the waste that is not treated within the system boundary. Since ecoinvent is used as the database, treatment processes of all wastes generated in the system are included within the system boundaries except for those inputs where other EPDs are used.

Results per functional or declared unit								
Indicator	**Unit**	**A1-A3**	**A4**	**C1**	**C2**	**C3**	**C4**	**D**
Hazardous waste disposed	kg	4.24E-04	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Non-hazardous waste disposed	kg	1.08E+01	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Radioactive waste disposed	kg	6.48E-02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

Output flow indicators

This table presents flows that exit the system boundary that are not waste.

Results per functional or declared unit								
Indicator	**Unit**	**A1-A3**	**A4**	**C1**	**C2**	**C3**	**C4**	**D**
Components for re-use	kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Material for recycling	kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	9.50E+02	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Materials for energy recovery	kg	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Exported energy, electricity	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00
Exported energy, thermal	MJ	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00	0.00E+00

LCIA differences between painted and non-painted products

As this EPD is a worst-case scenario type EPD, there are no impacts that increases for a non-painted product. The largest difference in one LCIA category between a painted and a non-painted product is 23%.

References

General Programme Instructions of the International EPD® System. Version 4.0.

European Committee for Standardization. (2021). Sustainability of construction works - Environmental Product declarations - Core rules for the product category of construction products (EN 15804:2012+A2:2019/AC:2021).

Erlandsson, M., & Pettersson, D. (2015). *Klimatpåverkan för byggnader med olika energiprestanda.* Stockholm: IVL - The Swedish environmental agency.

Eurofer, The European Steel Association. (2021). *Eurofer - Where is steel made in Europe?*, accessed 31st August 2023, https://www.eurofer.eu/about-steel/learn-about-steel/where-is-steel-made-in-europe/

The International EPD Programme. (2023). Product category rules of construction products 2019:14, version 1.3.1.

International Organization for Standardization [ISO]. (2006a). Environmental labels and declarations - Type III environmental declarations - Principles and procedures (ISO 14025:2010).

International Organization for Standardization [ISO]. (2006b). Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Principles and framework (ISO 14040:2006).

International Organization for Standardization [ISO]. (2006c). Environmental labels and declarations - Type III environmental declarations - Requirements and guidelines (ISO 14044:2006).

Liljenström, C., & Finnveden, G. (2015). Data for separate collection and recycling of dry recyclable material. Stockholm: KTH Royal Institute of Technology - Division of Environmental Strategies Research - fms.

Munge. A, Hakkarainen. V, Life Cycle Assessment of wholesale steel products By Stalia AB, 2023-09-04

EPD®

www.environdec.com